Filtering the information overload for better decisions

23rd Cochrane Colloquium Vienna

WiFi
Wiley has sponsored free WiFi during the Colloquium.
Username: ColloquiumVienna
Password: WiFibyWILEY

Conference App

#CochraneVienna
Use this hashtag to tweet about the Colloquium.

Cochrane Library
Wiley has granted free access to the Cochrane Library and Cochrane Clinical Answers during the Colloquium.
http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/login-options
Username: Cochrane_CCA
Password: Colloquium2015

And remember …
Please ensure your mobile phone and any other electronic devices are in silent mode or switched off before entering sessions as a courtesy to speakers and other delegates.
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Willkommen

Dear Colleagues and Attendees of the 23rd Cochrane Colloquium,

We are thrilled to host the 2015 Cochrane Colloquium in Vienna. This year’s event promises to be one of the largest ever, with a record number of abstracts and workshop submissions and more than 1,000 attendees.

Hosting a Colloquium is an immense responsibility. We have carefully crafted the 23rd Cochrane Colloquium, building upon the combined work of the 22 previous Colloquia, each of which has contributed to the culture of this wonderful event. The tradition of the “Annual Cochrane Lecture” continues at the kick-off of the Colloquium. Ida Sim from the University of California San Francisco will weigh in on the future of evidence-based medicine in the age of information overload. We will also introduce a new series of sessions entitled “Cochrane Stories.” Inspired by students asking us about the impact of Cochrane’s work in real life, “Cochrane Stories” showcase the efforts of Cochrane’s contributors by allowing them to share their personal experiences of their work’s impact, their struggles, the challenges they faced, and the successes they achieved.

If you think about it, the Cochrane Colloquium is the ultimate crowd-sourcing event. Of the 1,000+ persons attending the Colloquium, more than half are actively participating, either as workshop facilitators or by presenting their own work in oral sessions or poster presentations. This incredibly high percentage of active participation may be what makes Cochrane Colloquia unique. It definitely has proven a scheduling challenge! While we strove to keep workshops and oral sessions separate, we’ve also introduced a new style of research presentation – rapid oral presentations. Inspired by the Japanese “Pecha Kucha,” these fast-paced oral presentations will also be given during lunch sessions. We hope you will find these rapid-fire, four-minute talks both stimulating and interesting.

Our host city, Vienna, is not only the city of music but is also famous for its traditional coffeehouses, imperial balls, international receptions, and formal dinners. Get a taste of the city ranked the world’s most liveable for the 6th consecutive year at the Welcome Reception and Gala Dinner. Put on your dancing shoes and waltz through Monday evening with dance lessons, and then join us for Austrian food. We have increased the Schnitzel Evening reservation three times, evidence of your obvious enthusiasm for Austria’s beloved cuisine of breaded, pounded meat.

In addition to the presentations and talks, we hope everyone will find time to indulge in Vienna’s rich cultural offerings. Attend an opera, visit the art museums, or simply stroll through the cobblestone lanes that inspired centuries of well-known scientists, philosophers, and artists like Mozart, Strauss, Semmelweis, Freud, Twain, Wittgenstein, and many others.

Above all, we sincerely hope that when you bid Vienna farewell at the end of the week, you will carry with you memories of a once-in-a-lifetime experience filled with collaborative learning, motivating talks, cooperative work, and an inspiring exchange of ideas in one of the greatest cities in the world.

Danke für Ihr Kommen!

Gerald Gartlehner & Kylie Thaler
2015 Vienna Cochrane Colloquium Co-Chairs
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Platinum

Initiative »Tut gut!«, Niederösterreichischer Gesundheits- und Sozialfonds (NÖGUS) / Lower Austrian Health and Social Fund

The “Tut gut!” initiative acts as a hub of health support and prevention in Lower Austria. A variety of programs, projects, and initiatives bring the topic “prevention” into all spheres of life: communities, nursery schools, schools, offices, hospitals, and more. Targeted offers strengthen the personal responsibility of every individual in Lower Austria in terms of their health, and support them on the way to a healthy, more active life.

See for yourself the high-quality programs we offer at www.noetutgut.at and gather innovative ideas for your own healthcare.

The Lower Austrian Health and Social Fund is a strategic and coordinating initiative for public health within the Lower Austrian health system. The fund’s responsibilities lie in planning, supervising, financing, and ensuring quality in health provision in Lower Austria. Within the framework of healthcare reform, NÖGUS serves as the hub of implementing goal-oriented measures in the areas of healthcare promotion, education and training of nongovernmental healthcare practitioners, the development of indicator systems in the healthcare sector, and the execution of transnational EU projects with neighboring regions or in conjunction with other EU member states.

Donau-Universität Krems / Danube University Krems

The University for Continuing Education

Danube University Krems is the only public university of continuing education in Austria. Its courses are specifically oriented toward the needs of working persons. The University is specifically focused on interdisciplinary cross-linking and future-oriented special sectors; it offers courses that combine medicine and management, music and law, or image sciences and new media. Highest quality standards, a scientific, practical approach, and the use of innovating teaching and learning methods are part of all courses offered at Danube University Krems.

Danube University Krems is located 80 km from Vienna – in one of the most beautiful cultural landscapes of Europe known as the Wachau. It has been declared a world heritage site by the UNESCO. The modern adaptation of an erstwhile tobacco factory in Stein, a characteristic industrial building dating back to the start of the 20th century, and the new premises at Campus Krems offer students and teachers an open and motivating environment that promotes innovation. The facilities include modern teaching and research rooms, a library, an auditorium, a cinema, apartments, and a variety of restaurants.

www.donau-uni.ac.at

Cochrane Colloquia do not take any funding from sources with a potential conflict of interest. Therefore, we rely on the generosity of organizations who share our belief in the importance of independent health information. We thank the following organizations for their support of the 2015 Colloquium:

Initiative »Tut gut!«, Niederösterreichischer Gesundheits- und Sozialfonds (NÖGUS) / Lower Austrian Health and Social Fund

The Lower Austrian Health and Social Fund is a strategic and coordinating initiative for public health within the Lower Austrian health system. The fund’s responsibilities lie in planning, supervising, financing, and ensuring quality in health provision in Lower Austria. Within the framework of healthcare reform, NÖGUS serves as the hub of implementing goal-oriented measures in the areas of healthcare promotion, education and training of nongovernmental healthcare practitioners, the development of indicator systems in the healthcare sector, and the execution of transnational EU projects with neighboring regions or in conjunction with other EU member states.
Cochrane exists to inform and improve healthcare decisions. Over the past 20 years, Cochrane has helped transform the way healthcare decisions are made by producing reviews that summarize the best available evidence generated through research.

We are a global independent network of researchers, professionals, patients, carers, and people interested in health. Our work is recognized as presenting an international gold standard for high quality, trusted information. We want to be the leading advocate for evidence-informed healthcare across the world.

Wiley is proud to have published the Cochrane Library on behalf of Cochrane since 2003. The Cochrane Library is the single most reliable source of evidence on the effects of healthcare. World-leading Cochrane Systematic Reviews provide high-quality, independent evidence to inform healthcare decision-making. Learn more at www.cochranelibrary.com.

Wiley, the world’s leading society publisher, is the sponsor of the Wireless Internet. Wiley’s Global Research business publishes on behalf of more societies and membership associations than any other society publisher, and offers libraries and individuals 1,250 online journals, thousands of books and e-books, reviews, reference works, databases, and more. For more information, visit www.wiley.com.
Social security is an important cornerstone in maintaining social cohesion in modern societies. The Austrian social security comprises health, accident, and pension insurance. As an umbrella organization, the Main Association of Austrian Social Security Institutions (Hauptverband der österreichischen Sozialversicherungsträger) is responsible for safeguarding general social security interests and for representing the social security institutions both nationally and internationally.

In order to ensure adequate and appropriate nationwide healthcare, the Main Association of Austrian Social Security Institutions contributes relevantly to the promotion of decision-making based on scientific insights. In the context of evidence-based healthcare, health technology assessment (HTA), in tandem with international networking and cooperation with various institutions, plays a crucial role. As a health policy tool, HTA requires high-quality evidence-based information as provided by the Cochrane Collaboration to support transparent decision-making processes by healthcare politicians, administrators, and clinical decision-makers.

The 23rd Cochrane Colloquium hosted by Cochrane Austria in Vienna provides an excellent opportunity to promote evidence-informed health decision-making in the Austrian healthcare system.

The Lower Austrian Health Insurance Fund covers 1.2 million people and has an annual budget of €1.2 billion. The vast majority of our revenue comes from the contributions of the employees and their employers. The employees are insured with us, and 97 percent of our budget is spent on benefits for our customers. Our institution urges the use of evidence-based medicine – especially when introducing new services – to avoid distributing our resources randomly and to ensure patient safety.

One example is the approval of drugs in Austria, which is based on scientific studies but also on the post-launch surveillance of the effects. Also the admission of new drugs into the reimbursement codex is strictly regulated. We focus not only on treatment of illness but also on health promotion and prevention. We use quality indicators and guidelines as our main tools in our prevention programs, which range from anti-tobacco strategies, including a stop-smoking helpline, up to tertiary prevention. Other examples are the development of national standards of early breast cancer detection based on studies, disease management programs according to clinical pathways (e.g. for type-2 diabetes), and orthodontic treatment when medically indicated (e.g., removable or fixed braces).

The Ludwig Boltzmann Institute for Health Technology Assessment (LBI-HTA) is an independent research institution offering decision-making support to the Austrian health sector. The LBI-HTA provides the scientific basis for decisions in favor of an efficient and appropriate use of resources. Medical interventions are analyzed under a broad socially-relevant perspective and in the context of a qualitative concept of innovation. Special importance is attached to the traceability of the results – systematic analysis and disclosure of methods make the results open to scrutiny. Intensive international networking allows us to avoid redundancies and to remain at the leading edge of knowledge. The LBI-HTA conducts its interdisciplinary research in the following areas of expertise: oncology, high-tech medicine in hospitals, rehabilitation and occupational therapy, prevention and screening, psychological and psychiatric interventions, complementary medicine, HTA-methods, and steering instruments.
Silver

RTI-International

RTI-International is the sponsor of the Cochrane Colloquium. As one of the world’s leading research institutes, RTI is dedicated to improving the human condition by turning knowledge into practice. RTI’s staff of more than 3,700 provides research and technical services to governments and businesses in more than 75 countries in the areas of health and pharmaceuticals, education and training, surveys and statistics, advanced technology, international development, economic and social policy, energy and the environment, and laboratory testing and chemical analysis. For more information, visit www.rti.org.

Medizinische Universität Wien / Medical University of Vienna

Founded in 1365 as a medical faculty of the University of Vienna, the Medical University of Vienna is now the largest medical research institution in Austria and one of the world’s largest and most renowned medical universities. The clinics at the Medical University employ over 1,500 doctors and more than 7,000 medical students enjoy world-class education. The Medical University of Vienna has generously sponsored 30 students to participate in and contribute to the 2015 Cochrane Colloquium in Vienna, a sign of its commitment to evidence-based medicine and medical education.

Bronze

IMC Fachhochschule Krems / Krems University of Applied Sciences

Österreichische Ärztekammer / Austrian Medical Chamber

Kleijnen Systematic Reviews

Verein zur Förderung der Technologiebewertung im Gesundheitswesen / Health Technology Assessment

Partners

Deutsches Netzwerk Evidenzbasierte Medizin / German Network for Evidence-based Medicine

Gesundheit Österreich GmbH
The Guidelines International Network (G-I-N) is a Scottish charity, founded in 2002 to promote and facilitate international networking and collaboration within the guideline community. Since then, it has grown to include 104 organisations and 138 individual members, encompassing 50 countries in six continents. Our aim is to be acknowledged as the connector within the guideline world, and to extend our reach to the wider knowledge management community.

We are proud of our partnership with Cochrane and are looking forward to working more actively together to avoid duplication of efforts of guideline developers and systematic reviewers and achieve our shared goal of bringing our communities much closer together for the benefit of all.

We wish our friends and colleagues at Cochrane every success in the 2015 Cochrane Colloquium in the wonderful city of Vienna. Please drop by the combined Cochrane/G-I-N stand at the Colloquium to find out more about G-I-N, where you will meet Sue Huckson, G-I-N Board Trustee and Chair of G-I-N Membership Committee.

Exhibitors
Science Meets Art

Bernhard Mager is our “artist-in-residence”

For the first time ever at a Cochrane Colloquium, science meets art in a blending of colors and forms. We are pleased to welcome local artist Bernhard Mager as our “artist-in-residence” here in Vienna! Mager’s work playfully adapts the human genetic code into an explosion of color. He will be present during the Colloquium to do a live performance, allowing you to take part as he paints an abstract version of the Cochrane Austria logo in oil on canvas. His geometric yet abstract designs will inspire him – and you – to create the very first Cochrane Colloquium piece of art.

You can find more of Bernhard Mager’s work on his website www.bernhardmager.at

With thanks to HYPO NOE Landesbank AG for their support of this project.
Gerald Gartlehner is the Director of Cochrane Austria and the founding director of the Department for Evidence-based Medicine and Clinical Epidemiology at the Danube University, Krems. He is also the Associate Director of the RTI-UNC (Research Triangle Institute International–University of North Carolina) Evidence-based Practice Center in Chapel Hill, USA, and a research fellow at the University of North Carolina Sheps Center for Health Services Research. He has more than a decade of experience in systematic reviews and meta-analyses of healthcare interventions. His main professional interests concern comparative effectiveness and safety of medications, advanced methodology for synthesizing bodies of evidence and for dealing with problems of applicability and strength of evidence. He has served as a scientific advisor to national and international organizations such as the Austrian Ministry of Health, the World Health Organization, and the US Consumers Union.

Kylie Thaler is the Associate Director of Cochrane Austria and a research associate at the Department for Evidence-based Medicine and Clinical Epidemiology at the Danube University, Krems, Austria. She obtained her medical degree at the University of Melbourne, Australia and completed her Master of Public Health at the Medical University of Vienna. Her thesis focused on using evidence in public health decisions. She is an experienced systematic reviewer and has worked on multiple projects in cooperation with the World Health Organization, the Evidence-based Practice Centers at the Oregon Health and Science University, and the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, as well as with local Austrian and German agencies. Her research focus is systematic review and guideline methodology. She led a work package of a European Union FP-7 funded project on interventions to combat publication bias and is a member of the GRADE working group and a reviewer for the Journal of Clinical Epidemiology.

Ida Sim is Professor of Medicine at the University of California San Francisco, Co-Director of Biomedical Informatics at UCSF’s Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute, and Co-Founder of Open mHealth. Her work focuses on knowledge-based technologies for evidence-based medicine. She was also the founding Project Coordinator of the World Health Organization’s International Clinical Trials Registry Platform, which sets global standards on trial registration and reporting.

An Apple ResearchKit study enrolled 22,000 people in five days. A study claims that Twitter can be used to identify depressed patients. A computer program crunches genomic data, the published literature, and electronic health record data to guide cancer treatment. The pace, the data sources, and the methods for generating medical evidence are changing radically. What will — what should — evidence-based medicine look like in a faster, personalized, data-dense tomorrow?
Plenary II:
Information overload: Are we part of the problem or part of the solution?

Chairs:
Karla Soares-Weiser & Robert Wolff

John Ioannidis
holds the C.F. Rehnborg Chair in Disease Prevention at Stanford University, where he is Professor of Medicine, Professor of Health Research and Policy, and Director of the Stanford Prevention Research Center at Stanford University School of Humanities and Sciences, and co-Director of the Meta-Research Innovation Center at Stanford (METRICS).

Hilda Bastian
was a consumer advocate in Australia whose career turned to analyzing evidence, communicating about it, and making it more accessible. She now works at the U.S. National Institutes in the National Library of Medicine’s National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), where she is responsible for clinical effectiveness resources at PubMed Health and post-publication evaluation at PubMed Commons. Hilda is also a cartoonist and blogger.

Ben Goldacre
is a doctor, academic, campaigner, and writer whose work focuses on uses and misuses of science and statistics by journalists, politicians, drug companies, and alternative therapists. His first book, Bad Science, reached #1 on the UK nonfiction charts and has sold over half a million copies worldwide. His second book, Bad Pharma, discusses problems in medicine, focusing on missing trials, badly designed research, and biased dissemination of evidence.

Plenary III:
Sectors, settings, and innovative methods: reshaping the future of public health evidence
In collaboration with the European Forum for Evidence-based Prevention

Chairs:
Rebecca Armstrong & Anita Rieder

Mark Lawrence
is a Professor in Public Health Nutrition at Deakin University. He has 30 years’ experience working in food and nutrition policy at local, state, national, and international levels. Mark’s research interests focus on investigating the science and politics of evidence use in nutrition policy-making, particularly in relation to dietary guidelines, Nutrient Reference Values, and food regulations. He is also leading a transdisciplinary research team analysing policy interventions to promote healthy and sustainable food systems.

Eva Rehfuess
is a Senior Scientist at the Institute of Medical Informatics, Biometry and Epidemiology at the University of Munich, and coordinates Cochrane Public Health Europe. Nine years of working for the World Health Organization inspired her keen interest in methods for evidence-based public health. Much of her research relates to the evaluation of complex interventions, in particular as applied to household air pollution, child health, and other health concerns in low- and middle-income countries.

Toby Lasserson
Toby Lasserson is a Senior Editor at the Cochrane Editorial Unit in London, UK. He has been involved with Cochrane for over 15 years and has contributed as an author or editor to more than 50 published Cochrane Reviews. Since September 2013 he has led a team of editors in the pre-publication quality assurance of Cochrane Reviews. Toby has also been part of the working groups that developed the standards for conduct and reporting of Cochrane Reviews (MECIR, PLEACS) and contributed to adapting PRISMA guidelines for Protocols, IPD, Harms and Abstracts.
Plenary IV: Clearing the path for research to reach decision-making: How can we make the vision a reality?

Wednesday, 7 October
9:00–10:30
Chairs: Regina Kunz & Maria Ximena Rojas Reyes

Brian Haynes is Professor of Clinical Epidemiology and Medicine, founding chief of the Health Information Research Unit at McMaster University, and on the active medical staff of Hamilton Health Sciences, Hamilton, Ontario. His main research interests are in improving health and healthcare through enhancing the validation, distillation, dissemination, and application of healthcare knowledge. He is one of the originators of evidence-based medicine and has created a health knowledge refinery that feeds many evidence-based information services such as ACP Journal Club, ACP JournalWise, EvidenceUpdates, Nursing PLUS, Rehab PLUS, and Knowledge Translation PLUS, as well as working tools for authors and editors of evidence-based texts, systematic reviews, and guidelines.

Magne Nylenna is the Director of the Norwegian Knowledge Centre for the Health Services and Editor-in-Chief at the Norwegian Electronic Health Library. He is Professor of Community Medicine, University of Oslo, and Vice Chair of the Cochrane Library Oversight Committee. His main interest is in scientific communication and publishing. He has been Editor-in-Chief of the Journal of the Norwegian Medical Association and Member of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (the Vancouver Group) as well as Chairman of the Membership Committee, World Association of Medical Editors (WAME), and Council Member and Vice President of the European Association of Science Editors (EASE).

Gerd Antes is the founding director of Cochrane Germany, based at the University Hospital Freiburg, and holds a professorship at the Medical Faculty of the University of Freiburg. His academic background is in mathematics and medical statistics; however, his research interest is in the scientific challenges present at all stages of the knowledge process – from generation to dissemination and implementation. This vision of knowledge as one of the most powerful resources to improve healthcare motivated him to combine his scientific work with activities in the political context of healthcare and to focus on structural barriers between the global knowledge pool in English and local implementation in other languages.

Chairs & Speakers

Glyn Elwyn is a tenured professor and physician-researcher at the Dartmouth Institute for Health Policy and Clinical Practice, USA. After reading the humanities, he qualified in medicine in the United Kingdom and completed his doctorate with Professor Richard Grol in the Netherlands. He leads an interdisciplinary team examining the implementation of shared decision-making into routine settings. His current focus is on the development and impact of Option Grids(c), evidence-based encounter tools to foster better clinical conversations. He has developed the Observer OPTION and CollaboRATE instruments to measure shared decision-making.

Gerd Gigerenzer is Director at the Max Planck Institute for Human Development and Director of the Harding Center for Risk Literacy in Berlin. He is former Professor of Psychology at the University of Chicago, and John M. Olin Distinguished Visiting Professor, School of Law at the University of Virginia. He is also Member of the Berlin-Brandenburg Academy of Sciences and the German Academy of Sciences, and Batten Fellow at the Darden Business School, University of Virginia.

Angela Morelli is an information designer. Over the past ten years, she has focused on designing scientific information and has collaborated with a number of research organizations in Europe. Besides self-initiating projects on communicating environmental issues, she works together with the scientists at the Norwegian Knowledge Centre for Health Services in Oslo and is an Associate Lecturer at Central Saint Martins in London. She believes that understanding does not result from pouring more and more facts onto our audience, but from building narratives in a way that is both clear and engaging. Angela serves as an ambassador for virtual water and water footprint research, and was named a 2012 Young Global Leader by the World Economic Forum. She is co-founder of InfoDesignLab, an initiative whose goal is to facilitate collaborations between designers and scientists. www.angelamorelli.com
Program

Saturday, 3 October 38
Sunday, 4 October 43
Monday, 5 October 75
Tuesday, 6 October 101
Wednesday, 7 October 109
Social Program 134
Prizes & Awards 140
Cochrane Stories 143
Streams 144
Posters 153
Saturday, 3 October

07:30 – 09:00 Meetings
08:40 – 17:00 Consumer Executive Meeting
09:00 – 12:30 Fields Staff Meeting
09:00 – 12:30 CAST/CRS Meeting
09:00 – 15:30 Centre and Branch Directors’ Meeting
09:00 – 15:30 Coordinating Editors’ Informal Meeting
09:00 – 15:30 Managing Editors’ Meeting
09:00 – 15:30 Methods Board Meeting
09:00 – 15:30 Trials Search Coordinators’ Meeting
09:00 – 17:30 Consensus Meeting for PRISMA-PC (Protocol for Children) and PRISMA-C (report for Children)
09:00 – 12:30 Lunch Break Mall area
10:00 – 17:30 Newcomers’ Session Lehar 2
10:00 – 11:30 Future Colloquium Organisers
11:00 – 12:30 Fields Staff Meeting
11:00 – 12:30 CAST/CRS Meeting
11:00 – 12:30 Centre and Branch Directors’ Meeting
11:00 – 15:30 Coordinating Editors’ Informal Meeting
11:00 – 15:30 Managing Editors’ Meeting
11:00 – 15:30 Methods Board Meeting
11:00 – 15:30 Trials Search Coordinators’ Meeting
11:00 – 15:30 Centre and Branch Directors’ Meeting
11:00 – 15:30 Coordinating Editors’ Informal Meeting
11:00 – 15:30 Managing Editors’ Meeting
11:00 – 15:30 Methods Board Meeting
11:00 – 15:30 Trials Search Coordinators’ Meeting
11:00 – 17:30 Consensus Meeting for PRISMA-PC (Protocol for Children) and PRISMA-C (report for Children)
12:30 – 14:00 Lunch Break Mall area
14:00 – 15:30 Meetings
15:30 – 16:00 Afternoon Break Mall area
16:00 – 17:30 Newcomers’ Session Lehar 2
16:00 – 19:00 Meetings
19:00 – 23:00 Welcome Reception Kursalon, Stadtpark
### 23rd Cochrane Colloquium

#### Saturday, 3 October

**12:30–14:00**  
**Messe Congress Center**  
**Lunch Break**  
Mall area

**13:00–19:00**  
**Messe Congress Center**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meetings</th>
<th>Restriction</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Evidence Synthesis for Health Systems: A Methods Reader</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advancing prospective trial registration – proposed new interactions between Cochrane, WHO ICTRP, and Primary Registries</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 11–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RevMan Methods Roadmap</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 9–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fields Executive Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 19–20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**14:00–17:30**  
**Messe Congress Center**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meetings</th>
<th>Restriction</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advancing prospective trial registration – proposed new interactions between Cochrane, WHO ICTRP, and Primary Registries</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 11–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RevMan Methods Roadmap</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 9–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fields Executive Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 19–20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**15:30–16:00**  
**Messe Congress Center**

**Afternoon Break**  
Mall area

**16:00–17:30**  
**Messe Congress Center**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meetings</th>
<th>Restriction</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newcomers' session</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lehar 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This session is for you if you are new to Cochrane and you are unsure what it is, how it works, and how to get involved. The history and structure of Cochrane will be discussed briefly during this informal session. This is a special session for newcomers to the Cochrane Colloquium and for people who want to hear more about Cochrane.

Facilitators: Peter Mahlknecht, Mala Mann, Jordi Pardo

**17:45–19:00**  
**Kursalon, Stadtpark Vienna**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meetings</th>
<th>Restriction</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACROBAT-NRSI Business Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicability and Recommendations Methods Group Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Strauss 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDAN meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UXG Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 13–14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**19:00–23:00**  
**Kursalon, Stadtpark Vienna**

**Welcome Reception**  
Johannesgasse 33, 1010 Vienna

You can easily reach the venue by the U4, exit station “Stadtpark”, exit “Johannesgasse.”
### Sunday, 4 October

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07:30 – 08:45</td>
<td>Meetings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09:00 – 10:30</td>
<td>Plenary I</td>
<td>Strauss 2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30 – 11:00</td>
<td>Morning Break</td>
<td>Mall area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00 – 12:30</td>
<td>Oral Sessions, Special Sessions, Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 – 14:00</td>
<td>Lunch Break (Meet the Groups)</td>
<td>Mall &amp; Restaurants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30 – 14:00</td>
<td>Meetings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00 – 13:45</td>
<td>Lunch Rapid Oral Sessions</td>
<td>Schubert 1–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:00 – 15:30</td>
<td>Oral Sessions, Special Sessions, Workshop</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30 – 16:00</td>
<td>Afternoon Break</td>
<td>Mall area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00 – 17:30</td>
<td>Special Sessions &amp; Workshops</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17:45 – 19:00</td>
<td>Annual General Meeting</td>
<td>Strauss 2–3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Imperial Vienna

It might sound like a bit of a fairy tale that a landlocked nation with a population of just 8.5 million people wedged amid eight other countries was once the heart of an empire so grand that “the sun never set.” It’s been almost a century since the Habsburg’s 640 year rule ended, but remnants of Austria’s multicultural empire linger on and can be readily identified as a heritage that distinguishes Austria from its German-speaking neighbors like Germany and Switzerland.

One of the most obvious indicators of Austria’s royal past is the collection of palaces in Vienna. The Imperial Palace in Vienna’s first district, the emperor’s summer palace at Schönbrunn, and the two palaces of the Belvedere (the Upper and Lower Palace) serve as just a few reminders of the city’s grand past.

Like most royal families, the Habsburg liked to collect other treasures as well as palaces. The most impressive of these collections can be viewed at the Imperial Treasury of Vienna (Schatzkammer). In addition to crown jewels, royal robes, and holy lances, you’ll find vestments of the Golden Fleece. Particularly interesting are Habsburg heirlooms, which were often acquired by knights during the Crusades. If you like Dan Brown, you won’t be able to resist these items, including treasures rumored to be a unicorn horn, the Spear of Destiny, and the Holy Grail.

And what good is a fancy royal robe without a beautiful white horse to show it off on? The Spanish Riding School still shows perfect performances of white Lipizzaner horses selectively bred for centuries.

These are just a few of the lingering examples of Vienna’s noble heritage. Take a short stroll through the lanes of the first district, and you are bound to easily find hundreds more.

Text by KC Blau, Vienna expat blogger and fiction writer (www.kcblau.com)
## Sunday, 4 October

### 07:30–08:45
**MEETINGS**

### 09:00–10:30
**OPENING AND PLENARY I**


### 10:30–11:00
**Morning Break**

### 11:00–12:30
**SPECIAL SESSIONS**

- **S1** Complex Interventions
- **S2** Cochrane Stories – The neureminidase inhibitors review
- **S3** Project Transform

**ORAL SESSIONS**

- **O1** Diagnostic test accuracy reviews
- **O2** Information retrieval
- **O3** Primary studies

**RAPID ORAL SESSIONS**

- **RO1** Risk of bias assessment tools
- **RO2** Global health
- **RO3** Advanced methods
- **RO4** Quality of reporting
- **RO5** Online databases for evidence dissemination
- **RO6** Individual patient data

**WORKSHOP**

- **W21** Covidence
- **W96** EPPI-Reviewer

### 12:30–14:00
**Lunch**

**Meet the Groups**

### 12:30–14:00
**MEETINGS**

### 13:00–13:45
**LUNCH RAPID ORAL SESSIONS**

- **LRO1** Minimally important difference
- **LRO2** Prioritization
- **LRO3** Information retrieval
- **LRO4** Decision-making

### 14:00–15:30
**SPECIAL SESSIONS**

- **S4** WHO-Cochrane partnership
- **S5** Cochrane Stories – Mammography screening

**ORAL SESSIONS**

- **O4** Qualitative evidence
- **O5** GRADE
- **O6** Disseminating evidence

**RAPID ORAL SESSIONS**

- **RO7** Quality of reporting
- **RO8** Evidence maps and priority setting
- **RO9** Meta-analysis methods
- **RO10** Filtering the information overload for better decisions
- **RO11** Knowledge translation
- **RO12** Specific study types / Avoiding research waste

**WORKSHOP**

- **W1** Advanced Q&A session for Embase

### 15:30–16:00
**Afternoon Break**

### 16:00–17:30
**SPECIAL SESSIONS**

- **S6** Cochrane Stories – Public health
- **S7** Describing Interventions
- **S8** Research funding

**WORKSHOPS**

- **W20** Knowledge synthesis methods for complex evidence
- **W7** Economics in Cochrane review protocols 1
- **W13** Patient-relevant causal effects vs. ITT
- **W5** Cochrane Commercial Sponsorship Policy
- **W8** GRADE
- **W4** Bayesian meta-analysis hands-on in WinBUGS
- **W6** High-quality data abstraction
- **W9** Interpreting your review
- **W12** Interpretable patient-reported outcomes

### 17:45–19:00
**ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meetings</th>
<th>Restriction</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Library Regional Wiley/Cochrane Sales &amp; Access Strategy Summit: Europe</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Business Suite 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Methods – Bias Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dealing with Missing Participant Data in Meta-analysis Projects</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 19–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPOC Editor and Staff Meeting – Part 1</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 15–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence Based Research Network</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 11–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Disease Burden and Systematic Reviews</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning &amp; Support Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 13–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEs’ Exec Vienna Colloquium Meeting – Part 1</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayyan Community Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 17–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing Treatments International Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 9–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSCs’ Exec Vienna Colloquium Meeting – Part 1</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 7–8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Opening and Plenary I

**Welcome and Opening Remarks**

23rd Cochrane Colloquium Co-Chairs: Gerald Gartlehner & Kylie Thaler

**3rd Annual Cochrane Lecture**

The uneven future of evidence-based medicine

Chairs: Lisa Bero & Gerald Gartlehner
Speaker: Ida Sim

Response from Julian Elliott / Co-Lead Project Transform, Co-Founder Covidence
Response from David Tovey / Editor in Chief, Cochrane Library

---

### Special Sessions

#### S1 Complex interventions
Systematic reviews of complex interventions: state of the science on scoping, conceptual frameworks, analytic approaches, reporting elements, and future research

This session summarizes the state of the science on methods for systematic reviews of complex interventions and builds and continues a dialogue initiated by the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) in a symposium in Rockville, Maryland in June 2015.

*Chair: Meera Viswanathan*

*Speakers: Jane Noyes, Mary Butler, Terri Pigott, Peter Tugwell, Meera Viswanathan*

#### S2 Cochrane Stories
The neurominidase inhibitors review – the battle and its aftermath

Cochrane Stories is a new series of special sessions created for the Vienna Colloquium. Cochrane Stories are not scientific presentations of numbers and facts but rather personal stories of struggles, challenges, successes, and maybe failures.

Based on incomplete evidence, governments around the world have spent billions of dollars stockpiling neurominidase inhibitors (NI) such as Tamiflu® and Relenza® in anticipation of an influenza pandemic. The Cochrane NI Research Group has reviewed more than 160,000 pages of regulatory documents in order to examine the full evidence picture. In this session, you will hear the story behind the Neurominidase Inhibitors Review and its implications.

*Chair: Gerd Antes*

*Speakers: Carl Heneghan & Tom Jefferson*
11:00–12:30 Messe Congress Center

**Special Sessions**

**S3 Project Transform**

Bringing people and technology together for evidence production

This special session will introduce you to Project Transform, its major objectives, progress to date, and potential impact.

Chairs: Julian Elliott & James Thomas

Speakers: Julian Elliott, Sally Green, Steve MacDonald, Chris Mavergames, Anna Noel-Storr, James Thomas, David Tovey, Tari Turner

11:00–12:30 Messe Congress Center

**Oral Sessions**

**Location**

**O1 Diagnostic test accuracy reviews**

Lehar 1

O1.1 Authors need guidance on creating clinical pathways in Cochrane diagnostic test accuracy systematic reviews

Gowri Gopalakrishna

O1.2 What is the test's accuracy in my practice population? Tailored meta-analysis provides a plausible estimate

Brian H Willis

O1.3 Including Unpublished Data in a Systematic Review of Diagnostic Test Accuracy: Impact on Summary Estimates

Daniel Korevaar

O1.4 A generalized latent variable modelling framework for the bivariate analysis of sensitivity and specificity in diagnostic reviews

Paolo Eusebi

**O2 Information retrieval**

Lehar 2

O2.1 Improving access to reports of RCTs in Embase: innovative methods enhance the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)

Julie Glanville

O2.2 Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of traditional Chinese medicine must search Chinese databases to reduce language bias

Chen Mao

O2.3 Web searching for systematic reviews: how to develop the current guidance on methods and reporting standards

Simon Briscoe

O2.4 The objectively-derived approach enables the development of high-quality search strategies for bibliographic databases

Elke Hausner

**O3 Primary studies**

Lehar 3

O3.1 Olanzapine for schizophrenia: what do the unpublished clinical trials reveal?

Jonathan Beaumier

O3.2 The association between baseline differences and mortality in trials of atypical antipsychotics in dementia: a meta-regression analysis

Tessa Hulshof

O3.3 Meta-epidemiology of re-analyses of randomized controlled trial data

Shanil Ebrahim

O3.4 Are we overestimating harm when high numbers of placebo patients are switched to active therapy as part of an adaptive trial design? A meta-epidemiological study

Simon Tarp
11:00–12:30 Messe Congress Center

**Rapid Oral Sessions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Rapid Oral Sessions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 1</td>
<td>Risk of bias assessment tools</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 4</td>
<td>Global health: Helping with evidence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RO1** Risk of bias assessment tools

- **RO1.1** ACROBAT-NRSI for public health: reporting on feasibility & utility of applying ACROBAT to studies of housing improvement
  - Chairs: Anna Glechner & Kun Hyung Kim
  - Hilary Thomson

- **RO1.2** Assessing the Usability of ACROBAT-NRSI for Studies of Exposure and Intervention in Environmental Health Research
  - Rebecca Morgan

- **RO1.3** Bias in measurement of adverse outcomes in non-randomised studies
  - Daniela Junqueira

- **RO1.4** Inter-rater reliability of the Risk Of Bias Assessment Tool: for Non-Randomized Studies of Interventions (ACROBAT-NRSI)
  - Elisabeth Couto

- **RO1.5** PEDro or Cochrane to Assess the Quality of Physiotherapy Trials? A meta-epidemiological study
  - Susan Armijo-Olivo

- **RO1.6** Developing and validating a tool to quantify risk of bias in systematic reviews of genetic association studies
  - Zahra Sohani

- **RO1.7** PROBAST – A risk of bias tool for prediction modelling studies
  - Robert Wolff

- **RO1.8** AMSTAR: helping decision-makers distinguish high and low quality systematic reviews that include non-randomized studies.
  - Beverley Shea

**RO2** Global health: Helping with evidence

- **RO2.1** What evidence is available and what is required, in humanitarian assistance?
  - Mike Clarke

- **RO2.2** Evidence Aid Resources: improving access to systematic reviews that are relevant to disasters
  - Mike Clarke

- **RO2.3** Reviews in the humanitarian field: adaptation and transparency
  - Eleanor Ott

- **RO2.4** Evidence Aid: Improving the science and evidence base of disaster response – a policy Delphi engagement
  - Mike Clarke

- **RO2.5** Capacity-sharing for improved filtering of information for decision-making in the African context
  - Ruth Stewart

- **RO2.6** Policy BUDDIES: lessons learnt by researchers engaging in “buddying” with provincial policymakers in South Africa to advance evidence-informed decision-making
  - Taryn Young

- **RO2.7** Story of an epidemic – public health evidence South Asia – creating a social epidemic by experimenting with few
  - Streekumaran Nair

- **RO2.8** Use of Cochrane Reviews in developing Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPGs) in Malaysia
  - May Loong Tan

- **RO2.9** Measuring global health inequalities: the Gini, Theil, and Slope inequality index for 291 diseases and injuries and 76 risk factors
  - Peter Von Philipsborn
### Rapid Oral Sessions

**Location**: Schubert 2–3

**RO3** Advanced methods: Overviews of reviews and network meta-analyses

**Chairs**: Edwin Chan & Lilia Eugenieva Ziganshina

- **RO3.1** What guidance is available for researchers conducting overviews of reviews? A scoping review and qualitative metasummary
  - Lisa Hartling

- **RO3.2** Scoping reviews versus systematic reviews: results from a scoping review of scoping reviews
  - Andrea Tricco

- **RO3.3** Overview of screening recommendations from evidence-based guidelines as decision support for a reoriented parent-child preventive care programme
  - Inanna Reinsperger

- **RO3.4** Completing the first Cochrane overview of stroke reviews: experiences of the Cochrane Stroke Group
  - Alex Pollock

- **RO3.5** Constructing an overview of systematic reviews of diagnostic test accuracy
  - Harriet Hunt

- **RO3.6** Including observational evidence in a network meta-analysis of RCTs: a case study in schizophrenia
  - Orestis Efthimiou

- **RO3.7** Problems with Bayesian random effects in network meta-analysis
  - Gordon Guyatt

### Rapid Oral Sessions

**Location**: Schubert 5–6

**RO4** Quality of reporting

**Chairs**: Erik von Elm & Davina Ghersi

- **RO4.1** The PRISMA-IPD Statement: preferred reporting items for a systematic review and meta-analysis of individual participant data
  - Lesley Stewart

- **RO4.2** The Consensus on Exercise Reporting Template (CERT): a Delphi study investigating a standardised method for reporting exercise programs
  - Susan Slade

- **RO4.3** Essential items in reporting diagnostic accuracy studies: 2015 update of the STARD Statement
  - Patrick Bossuyt

- **RO4.4** Quality of reporting of diagnostic accuracy studies in medical laboratory journals
  - Valentina Pecoraro

- **RO4.5** Quality of reporting of clinical prediction model studies: adherence to TRIPOD
  - Pauline Heus

- **RO4.6** Epidemiology and reporting characteristics of systematic reviews: 2014 update
  - Matthew Page

- **RO4.7** Challenges and considerations in assessing the reporting quality of systematic reviews in overviews using PRISMA
  - Pengli Jia
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rapid Oral Sessions</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO5</strong> Online databases for evidence dissemination</td>
<td>Business Suite 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chairs: Therese Docherty &amp; Claire Glenton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 5.1 Alessandro Liberati online Library: a tool to increase public health professionals' knowledge and practices</td>
<td>Silvia Minozzi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 5.2 Patient portals for enhancing patient empowerment</td>
<td>Stefanie Lannig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 5.3 Cochrane Clinical Answers: filtering the information overload for better clinical decisions</td>
<td>Sera Tort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 5.4 Introducing KSR Evidence: developing a database of critically appraised systematic reviews in the field of pain relief</td>
<td>Sohan Deshpande</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 5.5 CBRG QuickDecks: a new tool for sharing the best evidence in back and neck pain care</td>
<td>Emma Irvin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 5.6 IN SUM: mapping the evidence on effects of child mental health and welfare interventions</td>
<td>Karianne Hammerstrøm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 5.7 Building a database of respiratory guidelines: why, how, and what for?</td>
<td>Elizabeth Stovold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 5.8 Best evidence for optimal aging: filter the information overload using the McMaster Optimal Aging Portal</td>
<td>Maureen Dobbins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 5.9 Coming full circle: returning to a bespoke search approach for clinical evidence</td>
<td>Olwen Beaven</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rapid Oral Sessions</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO6</strong> Individual patient data</td>
<td>Business Suite 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chairs: Joseph Beyene &amp; Damian Francis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 6.1 A framework for deciding if Individual Participant Data is likely to be worthwhile</td>
<td>Catrin Tudur Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 6.2 Imputation of systematically missing predictors in an individual participant data meta-analysis: a generalized approach using MICE</td>
<td>Thomas Debray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 6.3 The advantages of individual participant data (IPD) in smaller-scale systematic reviews</td>
<td>Neil Scott</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 6.4 Development of a unified database based on CDISC for individual patient data (IPD) analysis: practices in reproductive health survey</td>
<td>Ying Zhang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 6.5 Methods and characteristics of published network meta-analyses using individual patient data</td>
<td>Areti Angeliki Veroniki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 6.6 Complex interventions and composite outcomes in Individual Participant Data (IPD) meta-analysis: double challenge in i-WIP IPD</td>
<td>Ewelina Rogozinska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO 6.7 Selective cut-off reporting in diagnostic test accuracy studies of depression screening tools: Comparing traditional meta-analysis to individual patient data meta-analysis</td>
<td>Brooke Levis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sunday, 4 October

11:00–12:30  Messe Congress Center

Workshop  Location
W21  Covidence: Cochrane’s new online tool for review production (repeat session)  Galerie 3–4
Facilitators: Anneliese Arno, Agustín Ciapponi, Julian Elliott, Demián Glujovsky

W96  EPPI-Reviewer – an online tool for conducting complex reviews (repeat session)  Galerie 5–6
Facilitators: Sergio Graziosi & James Thomas

12:30–14:00  Messe Congress Center

Lunch Break  Mall & Restaurants

Meet the Groups lunch session  Mall area
Many different Cochrane review groups, centers, branches and fields will be represented on tables in the main lunch area (the Mall) and are keen to answer your questions and talk about their work. Take this chance to get to know your colleagues at the “Meet the Groups Lunch” and find out more at the “Meet the Groups” poster display in the meeting space 2 area.

12:30–14:00  Messe Congress Center

Meetings  Restriction  Location
EPOC Editor and Staff Meeting – Part 2  Restricted  Galerie 15–16
RevMan Web Project Board Meeting  Restricted  Galerie 13–14

13:00–14:00  Messe Congress Center

Lunch Rapid Oral Sessions  Location
LRO1  Minimally important difference  Schubert 1
Chairs: Sara Balduzzi & Thomas Debray

LRO1.1  Minimally important difference estimates for patient-reported outcomes in pediatrics: a systematic survey  Shanil Ebrahim

LRO1.2  Minimally important difference estimates and assessment of its credibility for patient-reported outcomes in adults: a systematic survey  Alonso Carrasco-Labra

LRO1.3  Though not consistently superior, the absolute approach to framing the minimally important difference has advantages over the relative approach  Yuqing Zhang

LRO1.4  A new tool to measure credibility of studies determining minimally important difference estimates for patient-reported outcomes  Alonso Carrasco-Labra

LRO1.5  Meta-analysis of mean differences in minimal important difference units: application with appropriate variance calculations  Ian Shrier

LRO1.6  Communicating the magnitude of treatment effects: a survey of clinicians in eight countries  Bradley Johnston
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lunch Rapid Oral Sessions</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LRO2</strong> Prioritization</td>
<td>Schubert 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO2.1 The UK top 10 clinical research priorities in neuro-oncology</td>
<td>Helen Bulbeck</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO2.2 Setting priorities for Cochrane Reviews in health communication and participation</td>
<td>Anneliese Synnot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO2.3 Prevalence of insurance medicine-related outcomes in update reviews of Cochrane’s 2015 priority list</td>
<td>Regina Kunz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO2.4 Use of framework synthesis to prioritise systematic review topics among multiple stakeholders: a descriptive case study</td>
<td>Ginny Brunton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO2.5 Using cumulative meta-analysis methods for prioritising Cochrane Review updates</td>
<td>Graeme Spence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO2.6 Focus on registered studies, adequately powered analyses, and true data: filter unreliable evidence from Cochrane Reviews!</td>
<td>Emma Sydenham</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lunch Rapid Oral Sessions</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>LRO3</strong> Information retrieval</td>
<td>Schubert 2-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO3.1 An Evidence-Based Approach to Scoping Reviews</td>
<td>Hanan Khalil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO3.2 Development of an objectively derived and validated search strategy to retrieve overviews of systematic reviews in MEDLINE</td>
<td>Carole Lunny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO3.3 More than expert searchers? A case study for librarians exploring roles beyond databases</td>
<td>Ana Patricia Ayala</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO3.4 The impact of selective searching on the results of systematic reviews</td>
<td>Lisa Hartling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO3.5 Will web search engines replace bibliographic databases? Review</td>
<td>Brian Taylor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO3.6 Googling for grey: using Google and Duckduckgo to find grey literature</td>
<td>Carla Hagstrom</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
13:00–14:00 Messe Congress Center

**Lunch Rapid Oral Sessions**

**LR04 Decision-making: End users and consumers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chairs:** Anne-Marie Glenny & Mingming Zhang

**LR04.1** Are online learning resources effective to build capacity for evidence-informed decision-making? (Maureen Dobbins)

**LR04.2** Outcomes of the use of targeted online consumer health information in primary care: a participatory systematic mixed-studies review (Pierre Pluye)

**LR04.3** Identifying patient-important practical issues for shared decision-making (Anja Fog Heen)

**LR04.4** Combining network meta-analysis results with patient preferences to facilitate shared decision-making (Huseyin Naci)

**LR04.5** Sources of information and attitudes towards evidence-based healthcare: a survey of Swiss physicians (Bernard Burnand)

**LR04.6** Evidence synthesis activities of a hospital evidence-based practice center and impact on hospital decision-making (Craig Umscheid)

14:00–15:30 Messe Congress Center

**Special Sessions**

**S4 WHO-Cochrane partnership**

*Using evidence in global public health policy: the WHO-Cochrane partnership*

How are Cochrane Systematic Reviews used by the WHO? How do Cochrane contributors inform WHO guideline processes? What can Cochrane do better to increase uptake of its evidence in global public health policy? These are just some of the questions that the cases presented in this session will address. Of interest to CoEds and others who are keen to increase the use of their hard work and share experiences!

**Chairs:** Lisa Bero, Susan L. Norris

**Speakers:** Lisa Bero, Nicola Magrini, Jörg Meerpohl, Chizuru Nischida, Susan L. Norris, Peter Olumese

**SS Cochrane Stories**

*A controversial Cochrane Review – Mammography screening*

Cochrane Stories is a new series of special sessions created for the Vienna Colloquium. Cochrane Stories are not scientific presentations of numbers and facts but rather personal stories of struggles, challenges, successes, and maybe failures.

Peter Gøtzsche’s Cochrane report, “Screening for breast cancer with mammography” has been widely cited but also hotly debated. It summarizes the evidence on an emotionally charged topic that is difficult to explain to clinicians and the general public. In this Cochrane story, Ingrid Mühlhauser and Peter Gøtzsche tell about their struggle to steer the discussion about the benefits and harms of mammography screening into the realm of the rational.

**Chairs:** Gerald Gartlehner, Irmgard Schiller-Frühwirth

**Speakers:** Peter Gøtzsche & Ingrid Mühlhauser
### Oral Sessions

#### O4 Qualitative evidence

**O4.1** Using purposive sampling within qualitative evidence synthesis: experiences from a Cochrane Review of parents' views and experiences of vaccination communication  
Heather Ames

**O4.2** Sensitivity analysis in systematic reviews of qualitative evidence: an example from a mixed-methods systematic review  
Laurenz Langer

**O4.3** Unpacking context dependence in systematic reviews of complex interventions: a methodological approach for managing double-layered complexity  
James Parrott

**O4.4** Linking Cochrane qualitative evidence syntheses with effectiveness reviews: experiences from the development of an EPOC qualitative evidence synthesis  
Simon Lewin

#### O5 GRADE

**O5.1** Defining and evaluating quality of evidence in “Summary of Findings” tables  
Gordon Guyatt

**O5.2** Assessing how much confidence to place in findings from qualitative evidence syntheses: a new version of the GRADE-CERQual tool  
Claire Glenton

**O5.3** Using the GRADE approach to network meta-analysis  
Gordon Guyatt

**O5.4** Research synthesis to help going from evidence to decision: a practical application of the GRADE/DECIDE Evidence to Decision Framework  
Silvia Minozzi

#### O6 Disseminating evidence

**O6.1** Lessons learnt from a planned, targeted dissemination of a systematic review on a controversial topic in the field of nutrition  
Celeste Estelle Naude

**O6.2** Cochrane 2.0: Tweeting and blogging to disseminate child health evidence  
Denise Thomson

**O6.3** Partnerships to coordinate and evaluate dissemination efforts  
Jani Ruotsalainen

**O6.4** RITES: a new systematic review tool to assess the characteristics of included trials along the efficacy/effectiveness continuum  
L. Susan Wieland
### Rapid Oral Sessions

#### Location: Messe Congress Center

#### 14:00–15:30

**RO7 Quality of reporting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RO7.1</td>
<td>How often is a Cochrane review the best available evidence? Analysis of the more relevant clinical questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gabriel Rada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO7.2</td>
<td>Discrepancies in outcome reporting exist between protocols and published oral health Cochrane Reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nikolaos Pandis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO7.3</td>
<td>Shedding light on the maze of study labels and features: analysis of CBA and ITS studies in Cochrane Systematic Reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stephanie Polus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO7.4</td>
<td>Systematic review of adverse effects of antidepressants in healthy volunteer studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Andreas Ørsted Bielefeldt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO7.5</td>
<td>Agreement in results data between conference abstracts and full reports of randomized controlled trials: should we depend on conference abstracts?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ian Saldanha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO7.6</td>
<td>Methods for data extraction from figures in protocols of Cochrane Systematic Reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Livia Puljak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO7.7</td>
<td>Reliability of data extraction using manual measurements of published graphs or figures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Giordano Pérez-Gaxiola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO7.8</td>
<td>A graphical display of reporting factors associated with systematic reviews: an example from diabetic retinopathy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elizabeth Clearfield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO7.9</td>
<td>Scope and quality of Cochrane Reviews of nutrition-related interventions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solange Durao</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Chairs: Andrew Herxheimer & Irena Zakarija-Grkovic

**RO7.1 How often is a Cochrane review the best available evidence? Analysis of the more relevant clinical questions**

- **Gabriel Rada**

**RO7.2 Discrepancies in outcome reporting exist between protocols and published oral health Cochrane Reviews**

- **Nikolaos Pandis**

**RO7.3 Shedding light on the maze of study labels and features: analysis of CBA and ITS studies in Cochrane Systematic Reviews**

- **Stephanie Polus**

**RO7.4 Systematic review of adverse effects of antidepressants in healthy volunteer studies**

- **Andreas Ørsted Bielefeldt**

**RO7.5 Agreement in results data between conference abstracts and full reports of randomized controlled trials: should we depend on conference abstracts?**

- **Ian Saldanha**

**RO7.6 Methods for data extraction from figures in protocols of Cochrane Systematic Reviews**

- **Livia Puljak**

**RO7.7 Reliability of data extraction using manual measurements of published graphs or figures**

- **Giordano Pérez-Gaxiola**

**RO7.8 A graphical display of reporting factors associated with systematic reviews: an example from diabetic retinopathy**

- **Elizabeth Clearfield**

**RO7.9 Scope and quality of Cochrane Reviews of nutrition-related interventions**

- **Solange Durao**

---

**RO8 Evidence maps and priority setting**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RO8.1</td>
<td>EBRNetwork – a call to action for more (efficient) systematic reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mona Nasser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO8.2</td>
<td>Evidence gap maps – a tool for promoting evidence-informed policy and prioritising future research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Birte Snilstveit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO8.3</td>
<td>Evidence gap maps for eye health: tool for promoting evidence and gaps in research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bhavisha Virendrakumar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO8.4</td>
<td>Accountability and health information systems: from health systems' priority setting to a pragmatic systematic review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Natalie Leon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO8.5</td>
<td>Evidence gaps in health policy and systems research in 15 countries of the Eastern Mediterranean Region: a mapping exercise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fadi El-Jardali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO8.6</td>
<td>Establishing and prioritising a local health research agenda with end users</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Darren Moore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO8.7</td>
<td>A priority setting tool for prioritizing review questions for systematic reviews in health policy and systems research: development and validation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elie Akl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO8.8</td>
<td>Priority setting for research and practice of child health in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) based on evidence from Cochrane Reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anju Sinha</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Chairs: Richard Kirubakaran & Airton Stein

**RO8.1 EBRNetwork – a call to action for more (efficient) systematic reviews**

- **Mona Nasser**

**RO8.2 Evidence gap maps – a tool for promoting evidence-informed policy and prioritising future research**

- **Birte Snilstveit**

**RO8.3 Evidence gap maps for eye health: tool for promoting evidence and gaps in research**

- **Bhavisha Virendrakumar**

**RO8.4 Accountability and health information systems: from health systems' priority setting to a pragmatic systematic review**

- **Natalie Leon**

**RO8.5 Evidence gaps in health policy and systems research in 15 countries of the Eastern Mediterranean Region: a mapping exercise**

- **Fadi El-Jardali**

**RO8.6 Establishing and prioritising a local health research agenda with end users**

- **Darren Moore**

**RO8.7 A priority setting tool for prioritizing review questions for systematic reviews in health policy and systems research: development and validation**

- **Elie Akl**

**RO8.8 Priority setting for research and practice of child health in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) based on evidence from Cochrane Reviews**

- **Anju Sinha**

---

**Sunday, 4 October**
### Rapid Oral Sessions

**R09 Meta-analysis methods**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Chairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
<td>The selection of fixed- or random-effect models in recent published meta-analyses</td>
<td>Ralf Bender &amp; Tianjing Li</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
<td>An investigation of the type I error rate when testing for subgroup differences in the context of random-effects meta-analyses</td>
<td>Kristina Thayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
<td>Meta-analyzing correlation coefficients derived from cohort studies</td>
<td>Jasleen Kaur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
<td>Joint models to account for informative losses to follow-up in longitudinal studies</td>
<td>Roxina Brignardello-Petersen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
<td>The influence of mortality time-points on pooled effect estimates in critical care meta-analyses</td>
<td>Dominik Roth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
<td>Multiple-arm trial data: using a corrected standard error for QIV analyses</td>
<td>Christopher Cates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
<td>Meta-analysis of adverse events data using a hierarchical Bayesian model: a case study</td>
<td>Bita Mesgar Pour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
<td>Prediction intervals should be routinely reported in meta-analyses</td>
<td>Joanna Inthout</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**R010 Filtering the information overload for better decisions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Chairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
<td>Integrating evidence: existing systematic reviews and primary studies</td>
<td>Lisa Hartling &amp; Joanne Jordan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
<td>Incorporating non-trial data into systematic reviews: opportunities and risks</td>
<td>Guy Tsafnat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
<td>Application of text mining and machine learning for problem formulation in systematic reviews</td>
<td>Kristina Thayer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
<td>Mining the information overload: a scoping review of patients’ responses to different forms of health risk information</td>
<td>Andy Pennington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
<td>Using innovative methods in trial identification for 12 Cochrane Intervention Reviews</td>
<td>Anna Noel-Storr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
<td>Enhancing search strategy development using word clouds</td>
<td>Shannon Robalino</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
<td>The Embase project 3: the 48-hour citation screening challenge</td>
<td>Anna Noel-Storr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
<td>Transforming from information overload to information excitement using the Pearl Harvesting Information Retrieval Framework</td>
<td>Robert Sandieson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
<td>Systematic review on social media as a data source for information on adverse effects</td>
<td>Su Golder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid Oral Sessions</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO11</strong> Knowledge translation</td>
<td>Business Suite 1–2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO11.1</strong> Does the medium matter when getting the message?</td>
<td>Jennifer Hanratty</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO11.2</strong> Communication of systematic review findings: exploring format preference</td>
<td>Jo Thompson Coon</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO11.3</strong> Adapting fishbone diagrams: a new approach for displaying findings of systematic reviews</td>
<td>Laura Morgan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO11.4</strong> Interactive “Summary of Findings” table (iSoF)</td>
<td>Sarah Rosenbaum</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO11.5</strong> Can an evidence-informed taxonomy be used to map vaccination communication interventions in “real world” settings? Findings from Nigeria</td>
<td>Angela Oyo-Ita</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO11.6</strong> Glossary of Evaluation Terms for Informed Treatment choices in plain language (GET-IT)</td>
<td>Jenny Moberg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO11.7</strong> A review of online evidence-based practice point-of-care information summary providers: 2014 update</td>
<td>Rita Banzi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO11.8</strong> Abstracts’ concept selection to reduce information overload</td>
<td>Brian Alper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO11.9</strong> Development and validation of a questionnaire to measure people’s ability to assess claims about treatment effects (CLAIM)</td>
<td>Astrid Austvoll-Dahlgren</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rapid Oral Sessions</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO12</strong> Specific study types / Avoiding research waste</td>
<td>Business Suite 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO12.1</strong> Should case series be included in systematic reviews when controlled trials are scarce?</td>
<td>Petteri Sjögren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO12.2</strong> Integration of multiple study designs in systematic reviews</td>
<td>Frank Peinemann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO12.3</strong> There is no such thing as a one-dimensional hierarchy of evidence: a critique and a perspective</td>
<td>Karin Hannes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO12.4</strong> Meta-analyses neglect previous systematic reviews and meta-analyses about the same topic</td>
<td>Myrto Samara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO12.5</strong> Unnecessary repetitions of pediatric clinical trials: cumulative meta-analyses</td>
<td>Perrine Janiaud</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO12.6</strong> Does access to clinical study reports from the European Medicines Agency reduce reporting bias?</td>
<td>Thomy Tonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO12.7</strong> Agreements on publication rights: an investigation of protocols and publications of randomized clinical trials</td>
<td>Matthias Briel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO12.8</strong> Are Health Technology Assessment (HTA) funded trials using systematic reviews to inform their design? A retrospective cohort</td>
<td>Sheetal Bhurke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO12.9</strong> Global evidence, local research: a country map of included studies from Cochrane Systematic Reviews</td>
<td>Qi Wang</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 16:00–17:30 Messe Congress Center

#### Special Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Messe Congress Center</td>
<td>Messe Congress Center</td>
<td>Messe Congress Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W1</td>
<td>W1</td>
<td>W1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop</strong></td>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>W1</strong> Advanced Q&amp;A session for Embase</td>
<td>Messe Congress Center</td>
<td>Messe Congress Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitators: Ivan Krstic &amp; Sherry Winter</td>
<td>Galerie 3–4</td>
<td>Galerie 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Afternoon Break</strong></td>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mall area</td>
<td>Mall area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>16:00–17:30 Messe Congress Center</strong></td>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Special Sessions</strong></td>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S6 Cochrane Stories</strong></td>
<td>Lehar 3</td>
<td>Lehar 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making an impact on public health – stories from Evidence Aid and the Cochrane Review on lay health workers</td>
<td>Lehar 3</td>
<td>Lehar 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Stories is a new series of special sessions created for the Vienna Colloquium. Cochrane Stories are not scientific presentations of numbers and facts but rather personal stories of struggles, challenges, successes, and maybe failures. Evidence Aid was established immediately following the Indian Ocean Tsunami in 2004. Hear stories about its successes and challenges over the last 10 years from director Mike Clarke. The lay health workers review was a milestone for Cochrane in many ways due to the methodological complexity. Learn about the review and the impact it has had on public health worldwide from two of the authors in person.</td>
<td>Lehar 3</td>
<td>Lehar 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair: Mike Clarke</td>
<td>Chair: Mike Clarke</td>
<td>Chair: Mike Clarke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speakers: Mike Clarke, Claire Glenton, Simon Lewin</td>
<td>Speakers: Mike Clarke, Claire Glenton, Simon Lewin</td>
<td>Speakers: Mike Clarke, Claire Glenton, Simon Lewin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S7 Describing interventions</strong></td>
<td>Strauss 2-3</td>
<td>Strauss 2-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making systematic reviews more useable: the challenges of describing interventions and choosing interventions to implement</td>
<td>Strauss 2-3</td>
<td>Strauss 2-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This session aims to discuss the causes and implications of poor intervention reporting and synthesis in systematic reviews as well as various approaches to dealing with these problems – with the intention of making systematic review evidence more useable. The session is relevant to authors and peer reviewers of reviews and trials, editors of Cochrane groups and journals, and members of the Cochrane Editorial Unit. It will take the format of three short presentations and a facilitated discussion between the presenters and the audience about this problem and potential solutions.</td>
<td>Strauss 2-3</td>
<td>Strauss 2-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair: Sally Green</td>
<td>Chair: Sally Green</td>
<td>Chair: Sally Green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speakers: Tammy Hoffmann, Paul Glasziou, Sally Green, Peter Langhorne</td>
<td>Speakers: Tammy Hoffmann, Paul Glasziou, Sally Green, Peter Langhorne</td>
<td>Speakers: Tammy Hoffmann, Paul Glasziou, Sally Green, Peter Langhorne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S8 Research funding</strong></td>
<td>Lehar 4</td>
<td>Lehar 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research funding and global health burden: a tragic mismatch</td>
<td>Lehar 4</td>
<td>Lehar 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This panel, hosted by UAEM (Universities Allied for Essential Medicines, a student-led initiative), will elaborate on the failures of the current R&amp;D system, will propose new models of how to fill the gap (incl. Open Access approaches), and will show how researchers can respond in order to move toward an equitable, accessible, and needs-driven innovation system.</td>
<td>Lehar 4</td>
<td>Lehar 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair: Alain Anstutz</td>
<td>Chair: Alain Anstutz</td>
<td>Chair: Alain Anstutz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speakers: Katy Athersuch, Thomas Deimel, Ellen ’t Hoen, Tim Hubbard</td>
<td>Speakers: Katy Athersuch, Thomas Deimel, Ellen ’t Hoen, Tim Hubbard</td>
<td>Speakers: Katy Athersuch, Thomas Deimel, Ellen ’t Hoen, Tim Hubbard</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Workshops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Workshops</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>16:00–17:30 Messe Congress Center</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td>W20 Which knowledge synthesis method can be used to answer research questions of complex evidence? Facilitators: Monika Kastner, Sharon Straus, Andrea Tricco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Suite 1-2</td>
<td>W7 How to include economics in Cochrane review protocols 1: background, objectives, outcome measures, and types of studies Facilitators: Erin Graybill, Ian Shemilt, Luke Vale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Suite 3-4</td>
<td>W13 Patient-relevant causal effects vs. intention to treat: a guide to understanding complex analyses Facilitator: Ian Shrier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galerie 1-2</td>
<td>W5 Cochrane Commercial Sponsorship Policy: an implementation workshop Facilitators: Ruth Foxlee &amp; David Tovey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galerie 3-4</td>
<td>W8 How to use GRADE to assess, interpret, and present findings of a review Facilitators: Romina Bignardello-Petersen, Jan Brozek, Miranda Langendam, Nancy Sandesso, Holger Schünemann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galerie 5-6</td>
<td>W4 Bayesian meta-analysis hands-on in WinBUGS Facilitators: Melissa Glenda Lewis &amp; Greekumaran Nair N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galerie 7-8</td>
<td>W6 High-quality data abstraction for systematic reviews: from form development to data exportation Facilitators: Gillian Gresham, Tianjing Li, Kristina Lindsley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galerie 9-10</td>
<td>W9 Interpreting your review: how to avoid Cochrane’s most common major errors Facilitators: Miranda Cumpton &amp; Toby Lasserson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galerie 11-12</td>
<td>W12 Making results of patient-reported outcomes interpretable Facilitators: Gordon Guyatt &amp; Donald Patrick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galerie 13-14</td>
<td>W15 Systematic reviews of prognostic studies 3: design, protocol, and data extraction using the CHARMS checklist Facilitator: Karel Moons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galerie 15-16</td>
<td>W14 Synthesis of qualitative research findings: what are they, where are they, and what to do with them? Facilitators: Andrew Booth, Kate Flemming, Ruth Garside, Angela Harden, Janet Harris, Jane Noyes, James Thomas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galerie 17-18</td>
<td><strong>17:45–19:00 Messe Congress Center</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
<td>W2 Adverse effects in systematic reviews: challenges for formulating the question and searching for different studies and sources of information Facilitator: Su Golder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galerie 19–20</td>
<td>W11 Knowledge translation for pediatric extensions of systematic review checklists: Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-Analysis - Protocols for Children (PRISMA-PC) and Reporting (PRISMA-C) Facilitators: Muñia Kapadia &amp; Martin Offringa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehar 1</td>
<td>W10 Introduction to meta-analysis 1: basic ideas for novices Facilitator: Joseph Beyene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lehar 2</td>
<td>W19 Using the Global Burden of Disease database to inform priority setting Facilitators: Soumyadeep Bhaumik, Robert Dellavalle, Chante Karimkhani, Mona Nasser</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 1</td>
<td>W18 Using the Cochrane RCT “Risk of bias” tool in systematic reviews Facilitators: Asbjørn Hróbjartsson &amp; Lucy Turner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
<td>W78 ACROBAT-NRSi (A Cochrane Risk Of Bias Assessment Tool: for Non-Randomized Studies of Interventions) for non-clinical community-based studies: a participatory workshop using a worked example from public health Facilitators: Julian Higgins, Jonathan Sterne, Hilary Thomson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 4</td>
<td>W17 Using social media for effective communication with Cochrane stakeholders Facilitator: Nancy Owens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
<td>W16 Test evaluation and decision-making – what information is needed? Facilitators: Harriet Hunt, Chris Hyde, Jaime Peters, Zhivko Zhelev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strauss 1</td>
<td><strong>Annual General Meeting</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Strauss 2-3 | Sunday, 4 October

**23rd Cochrane Colloquium**

**Location**
Coffeehouses

“...occasionally people even drink a coffee in a coffee-house, but that’s not the reason one goes there.”

H. Wiegl, Austrian writer

Vienna and her coffeehouses are inseparable. At the turn of the century, Vienna boasted over 600 coffeehouses catering to every profession, social class, and mood. Then, as today, writers, business people, students, artists, intellectuals and international guests have come to treasure their time “alone in the company of others.” One Austrian poet in the early 1900s felt so at home in his Stammtisch that he used Café Central as his return address. You’ll find Herr Altenberg sitting there still, opinion-loaded and inspiration-ready at his Stammtisch directly inside the brass doors of the marble-pillared historical gem.

But perhaps you’d prefer something more 50s style like the favored café of Thomas Bernhard (Bräunerhof)? Or maybe you’d like to contemplate dreams and the subconscious along with the memory of Sigmund Freud (Café Landtmann)? Coffeehouses vary in atmosphere and offerings. Some will have chess, piano accompaniment, or singers, some not. But no matter what coffeehouse you choose, all of them will have great coffee.

You will be able to choose from a long list of coffees, and we’re not talking regular or vanilla-flavored. Your coffee will usually come served on a silver platter with a cup of water on the side and usually – though not always – the spoon delicately balanced atop the glass. Newspapers from around the world will be hanging on a newspaper rack, available for your perusal. In attempt to guarantee your time is undisturbed, the server will skillfully ignore you and refrain from slapping down your check until you kindly request he or she do so.

In today’s world of multitasking, need-it-yesterday, working-against-the-clock, don’t you think you deserve some balm for the soul? Allow a black coat-and-tails waiter to serve up a Mélange and afford you a few glorious hours to sit back and smell the coffee.

Text by KC Blau, Vienna expat blogger and fiction writer (www.kcblau.com)
Monday, 5 October

7:30–8:45
MEETINGS

9:00–10:30
PLENARY
II Information overload: Are we part of the problem or part of the solution?

10:30–11:00
Morning Break

10:30–11:00
ATTENDED POSTER SESSION #1

11:00–12:30
SPECIAL SESSIONS
S9 Regulatory documents
S10 GRADE

11:00–12:30
ORAL SESSIONS
O7 Communicating evidence
O8 Priorities to overcome inequality
O9 SR/MA methods

12:30–14:00
LUNCH RAPID ORAL SESSIONS
LRO5 Primary studies
LRO6 Health Economics
LRO7 Users and stakeholders
LRO8 Search filters

13:15–13:45
SPONSORED PRESENTATION
IBM Watson

13:00–13:45
ORAL SESSIONS
R013 Translations
R014 Education and training
R015 Implementation and qualitative synthesis
R016 Rapid reviews
R017 Network meta-analysis
R018 Outcomes

13:45–14:00
LUNCH RAPID ORAL SESSIONS

14:00–15:30
W25 Comparing multiple treatments: overviews of reviews
W26 Cross-cultural adaptation of questionnaires
W27 Cochrane evidence: Getting Involved and Task Exchange
W28 MAGIC: evidence summary
W29 Economics in review protocols part 2
W31 A revised RoB tool for RCTs
W32 Meta-analysis 2: binary and continuous outcomes
W33 Cochrane stories for the media
W34 Data abstraction of CSRs
W35 Open Trials: linked database
W36 Rapid Reviews
W37 RevMan 5
W38 Searching for DTA studies
W39 Systematic reviews of prognostic studies 2
W40 Trial Sequential Analysis
W41 Online GRADEpro for SoF tables

15:30–16:00
ATTENDED POSTER SESSION #1

15:30–16:00
SPECIAL SESSIONS
S11 The European Medicines Agency & Cochrane

15:45–16:00
WORKSHOPS
W42 Missing outcome data in meta-analysis
W44 A positive learning climate
W45 Cochrane Updating Classification System
W46 DTA: heterogeneity and test comparison in meta-analysis
W47 Global Health Scorecard
W48 Standards for PLS
W49 Cochrane Review as a poster
W50 Introduction to meta-analysis 3

16:00–17:30
WORKSHOPS
W51 Introduction to NMA
W52 Patient safety within Cochrane
W53 Patients’ valuation of outcomes
W54 Research priority setting exercise
W55 Adverse events & PRISMA Harms
W56 Searching trials registers
W57 Systematic reviews of prognostic studies 1
W58 Targeted updates for guidelines
W59 Text-mining for study identification
W62 Confounding due to NRSI

17:45–19:00
MEETINGS
Waltz Lesson

19:30–22:00
Austrian Food – Schnitzel Evening
### 7:30–8:45
**Messe Congress Center**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meetings</th>
<th>Restriction</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAOHNS Cochrane Scholars and Cochrane ENT Special Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 9–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Agenda and Priority Setting Methods Group</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 17–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Gynaecology and Fertility Group</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group Editorial Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 7–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Oral Health Group: 20th Anniversary Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 11–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Public Health Open Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Trainers’ Network</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications Network Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Business Suite 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparing Multiple Interventions Methods Group</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 15–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Network (CCNet) Annual General Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 13–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iranian Cochrane Network – Present, Past, Future</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGIC Working Group Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Business Suite 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods Structure and Function Review Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Schubert 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US Contributors Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Strauss 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Insurance Medicine</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Schubert 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 9:00–10:30
**Messe Congress Center**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plenary II: Information overload: Are we part of the problem or part of the solution?</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chairs: Karla Soares-Weiser &amp; Robert Wolff</td>
<td>Strauss 2–3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Can too many systematic reviews and meta-analyses do harm?                          | Strauss 2–3 |
| John Ioannidis                                                                      |

| Tackling the twin beasts of information overload and review workload                | Strauss 2–3 |
| Hilda Bastian                                                                       |

| Perfecting EBM                                                                       | Strauss 2–3 |
| Ben Goldacre                                                                         |

### 10:30–11:00
**Messe Congress Center**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Morning Break</th>
<th>Mall area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### 10:30–11:00
**Messe Congress Center**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attended Poster Session #1</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poster, exhibition &amp; networking space</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 11:00–12:30
**Messe Congress Center**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Sessions S9 Regulatory documents</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moving beyond the published article (part 1): regulatory documents as an evidence base for Cochrane reviews</td>
<td>Strauss 2–3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This special session will outline why it is important to think beyond journal publications; it will present examples of systematic reviews including data from clinical study reports and other regulatory documents and will consider the challenges and opportunities of using this source of evidence in Cochrane reviews. This session will provide an opportunity to debate our cross-methods group initiative to develop interim guidance on when to incorporate regulatory documents into Cochrane reviews.

Chair: Su Golder
Speakers: Isabelle Boutron, Carl Heneghan, Tom Jefferson, Carol Lefebvre, Lesley Stewart
### Special Sessions

#### S10 GRADE

**Location**: Lehar 4

The purpose of this session is to provide an overview of the methodological issues the GRADE working group has approached over the past 15 years and how it accomplished this task (including issues around qualitative research, public health), to update attendees on the latest development in GRADE, and to provide information on issues that the GRADE project groups are currently tackling and stimulate feedback and discussion about the best approaches.

**Chairs**: Elie Akl & Regina Kunz

**Speakers**: Gordon Guyatt, Alfonso Iorio, Holder Schünemann

### Oral Sessions

#### O7 Communicating Evidence

**Location**: Lehar 1

**Chairs**: Lotty Hooft & Shaun Treweek

- **O7.1 Presenting evidence of effectiveness of interventions and more: the evidence of effects page**
  - David Nunan

- **O7.2 Increasing patient comprehension of benefits and risks of medicines using graphics**
  - Andrea Beyer

- **O7.3 User-involvement in a Cochrane systematic review update: using structured methods to enhance clinical relevance, usefulness, and usability**
  - Alex Pollock

- **O7.4 Trustworthy digital evidence ecosystem to improve diagnosis and treatment of patients**
  - Linn Brandt

#### O8 Setting priorities to overcome inequality

**Location**: Lehar 2

**Chairs**: Jeremy Grimshaw & Gabriel Rada

- **O8.1 The global burden of infectious disease as reflected in the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews**
  - Natalie Marecz

- **O8.2 Strategies for improving health systems in low-income countries: lessons learnt from four overviews of systematic reviews of health systems interventions**
  - Tomas Pantoja

- **O8.3 Using priority setting to enhance the policy relevance of systematic reviews: a case study from the South African Initiative for Systematic Reviews on Health Policies and Systems**
  - Willem Odendaal

- **O8.4 Building a systematic review movement in the humanitarian field**
  - Eleanor Ott

#### O9 Systematic review and meta-analysis methods

**Location**: Lehar 3

**Chairs**: Jos Kleijnen & Claudia Wild

- **O9.1 Extent and handling of missing dichotomous outcome data in 100 Cochrane and non-Cochrane systematic reviews**
  - Lara Kahale

- **O9.2 The changing world of data sharing and data transparency: what does this mean for individual participant data reviews?**
  - Sarah Nolan

- **O9.3 Multivariate meta-analysis of multiple correlated outcomes with individual participant data: how much do we gain?**
  - Giacomo Frosi

- **O9.4 Evaluation of the consistency between network meta-analysis and standard pairwise meta-analysis: a meta-epidemiological study**
  - Xiaohong Fu
### Rapid Oral Sessions

#### RO13 Translations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Chair/Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RO13.1</td>
<td>One year in: facts and figures about the impact of Cochrane’s translation strategy</td>
<td>Julian Ried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO13.2</td>
<td>Involving volunteers in translating Cochrane Plain Language Summaries to increase capacity</td>
<td>Livia Puljak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO13.3</td>
<td>The importance of critical revision of translated abstracts</td>
<td>Patricia Logullo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO13.4</td>
<td>Health in my Language: health domain-adapted machine translation as a means to tackle the resource issues for translation</td>
<td>Julian Ried</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO13.5</td>
<td>Lessons from translating Cochrane Reviews in nursing into Korean</td>
<td>Myonghwa Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO13.6</td>
<td>Russian translations of Cochrane Plain Language Summaries: experience with and feedback from an online survey on quality and importance</td>
<td>Liliya Eugenevna Ziganshina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO13.7</td>
<td>Strategies for eliciting mutual benefit from translating Cochrane Review content: the example of Cochrane Kompakt in German</td>
<td>Ingrid Tows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO13.8</td>
<td>Enhancing dissemination of Cochrane evidence via German translations of physiotherapy-related Cochrane Plain Language Summaries – a collaborative project</td>
<td>Cordula Braun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO13.9</td>
<td>Using social media to disseminate translated Cochrane evidence and to promote high-quality health information in non-English-speaking regions</td>
<td>Livia Puljak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### RO14 Education and Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Chair/Author</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RO14.1</td>
<td>Building capacity for systematic reviews in low-income countries beyond the classroom: the Africa Centre for Systematic Reviews and Knowledge Translation</td>
<td>Ekwaro Obuku</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO14.2</td>
<td>Train the trainers: Medical Library Literacy for Health Professionals in Ethiopia</td>
<td>Sandra Kendall</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO14.3</td>
<td>Spread of evidence-based practice into directors of nursing by an outreach campaign in Taiwan</td>
<td>Yi-Hao Weng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO14.4</td>
<td>Students 4 Best Evidence: “What’s the evidence for this?” campaign</td>
<td>Holly Millward</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO14.5</td>
<td>“Cochrane Lehrmodule”: development and implementation of teaching modules in university-affiliated courses</td>
<td>Peter Mahlknecht</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO14.6</td>
<td>Online systematic review methods training resources: environmental scan and identification of key characteristics</td>
<td>Robin Parker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO14.7</td>
<td>Building capacity to support evidence-informed public health: an innovative knowledge broker-mentoring program</td>
<td>Maureen Dobbins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RO14.8</td>
<td>A program to evaluate Cochrane training for authors of systematic reviews</td>
<td>Miranda Cumpston</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Rapid Oral Sessions

#### Implementation and qualitative synthesis

**RO15**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How are realist reviews conducted? A systematic mapping review of realist reviews</td>
<td>Rigmor C Berg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of a checklist to assess implementation (Ch-IMP) in systematic reviews: the case of provider-based programs targeting children and youth</td>
<td>Margaret Cargo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed studies reviews: types of synthesis designs used to combine qualitative and quantitative evidence</td>
<td>Guan Nha Hong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults with kidney disease and dietary recommendations: integration of quantitative and qualitative evidence to involve patient perspectives</td>
<td>Allison Tong</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applying framework synthesis to understand complexity in systematic reviews: a methodological systematic review</td>
<td>Ginny Brunton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex interventions and evidence translation to specific contexts: how additional analytic approaches can meet the needs of decision-makers</td>
<td>Katy Sutcliffe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is effectiveness of complex interventions in primary care in cluster-randomized trials linked to methodological rigor? A systematic review</td>
<td>Gudrun Pregartner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Challenges conducting a Cochrane Review of public health “implementation strategies”</td>
<td>Christopher Williams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Making sense of complex interventions: evidence synthesis through harvest plots and post-review expert consultations</td>
<td>Jacob Burns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Location**

Schubert 2-3

#### Rapid Reviews

**RO16**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Authors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>“Rapid review” methodology to inform a policy decision: when is it fit for purpose? A case study</td>
<td>Alexis Llewellyn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streamlining systematic reviews to provide healthcare decision-makers with more timely evidence: the DERP experience</td>
<td>Kim Peterson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid reviews to inform state health policy decisions</td>
<td>Valerie King</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If a rapid review is the solution, what is the problem?</td>
<td>Mala Mann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid review on the effectiveness of personal protective equipment for healthcare workers caring for patients with filovirus disease</td>
<td>Adrienne Stevens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapid reviews to inform Ebola preparedness efforts at hospitals</td>
<td>Craig Umscheid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possibilities of standardisation for rapid reviews – proposal for the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research</td>
<td>Xi-Ran He</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Location**

Schubert 5-6
### Rapid Oral Sessions

**Location**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Chairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R017</td>
<td>Network meta-analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R017.1</td>
<td>Characteristics of network meta-analysis reviews from Cochrane</td>
<td>Deborah Caldwell &amp; Sreekumaran Nair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R017.2</td>
<td>Comparing various approaches for network meta-analysis regarding effect estimation and evaluation of inconsistency</td>
<td>Ralf Bender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R017.3</td>
<td>Statistical models for network meta-analysis: an empirical comparison</td>
<td>Thomas Debray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R017.4</td>
<td>Exploring and accounting for the impact of interventions with scarce evidence in network meta-analysis</td>
<td>Anna Chaimani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R017.5</td>
<td>The impact of publication bias and small study effects on the efficacy and ranking of antipsychotics</td>
<td>Dimitris Mavridis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R017.6</td>
<td>Incorporating external information on between-study heterogeneity in network meta-analysis</td>
<td>Rebecca Turner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R017.7</td>
<td>Prospective use of network meta-analysis using formal statistical monitoring</td>
<td>Adriani Nikolakopoulou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R017.8</td>
<td>Hierarchical network meta-analysis models accounting for variability in nodes by treatment, dosage-category, and single dosage</td>
<td>Areti Angeliki Veroniki</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Rapid Oral Sessions

**Location**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Chairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R018</td>
<td>Outcomes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R018.1</td>
<td>Planning and reporting of quality-of-life outcomes in cancer trials: a retrospective cohort study</td>
<td>Stefan Schandelmaier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R018.2</td>
<td>Missing the forest for the trees: clinically relevant outcome measures in traumatic brain injury rehabilitation, a review of reviews</td>
<td>Anand Viswanathan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R018.3</td>
<td>Outcomes in Child Health: comparing outcomes evaluated in Cochrane Reviews and patient-important outcomes identified by parents using social media</td>
<td>Lisa Hartling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R018.4</td>
<td>A map of outcomes in trials of communication interventions for childhood vaccination: measuring too few concepts in too many ways</td>
<td>Jessica Kaufman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R018.5</td>
<td>Development of a core outcome set for infant colic</td>
<td>Nina Steutel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R018.6</td>
<td>Randomized clinical trials of the impact of alternative diagnostic strategies on patient-important outcomes: a systematic survey</td>
<td>Regina El Dib</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R018.7</td>
<td>Social network analysis for identifying central outcomes for clinical research: a case study using Cochrane reviews of HIV/AIDS</td>
<td>Ian Saldanha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R018.8</td>
<td>The COMET Initiative database of core outcome sets: increasing its value for users</td>
<td>Paula Williamson</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Workshop

**Location**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Chairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W21</td>
<td>Covidence: Cochrane’s new online tool for review production (repeat session)</td>
<td>Anneliese Arno, Agustin Ciapponi, Julian Elliott, Demián Glujovsky</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

23rd Cochrane Colloquium

Vienna
11:00–12:30  
Messe Congress Center

Workshop  | Location
---------|---------
W96  | Galerie 5–6

12:30–14:00  
Messe Congress Center

Lunch Break  | Mall & Restaurants

13:00–14:00  
Messe Congress Center

Lunch Rapid Oral Sessions  | Location
LRO5  | Schubert 1

LRO5.1  | Quality of clinical research: a systematic review of definitions and development of a comprehensive framework of indicators
Belinda Von Niederhäusern

LRO5.2  | The time-series studies for health policy research in China
Kehu Yang

LRO5.3  | Premature discontinuation of studies approved by research ethics committees: a comparison of randomized and non-randomized clinical studies
Anette Blümle

LRO5.4  | Are the estimates of blood pressure (BP) lowering effect the same in parallel and cross-over trials?
James Wright

LRO5.5  | Are routinely collected data studies reliable surrogates when there are no randomized trials? A meta-epidemiological study
Lars Hemkens

LRO5.6  | Quasi-randomisation in emergency setting trials: a recipe for selection bias or an efficient approach?
Mark Corbett

13:00–14:00  
Messe Congress Center

Lunch Rapid Oral Sessions  | Location
LRO6  | Schubert 4

LRO6.1  | Landscape review of costing methods for reproductive, maternal, and child health interventions
Jai Das

LRO6.2  | HPV vaccines in low- and middle-income countries: a synthesis study of economic evaluations
Carlos Canelo

LRO6.3  | Pharmaceutical policies: effects of reference pricing, other pricing, and purchasing policies (Updated Review)
Angela Acosta

LRO6.4  | Calculating costs: facing challenges for a cost comparison of day surgical and inpatient varicose vein surgery as an example
Stefan Fischer

LRO6.5  | Impact of pay-for-performance on behavior of primary care physicians and patient outcomes: a systematic review
Ga Liao

LRO6.6  | Network meta-analysis of multiple outcomes to inform a cost-effectiveness analysis: interventions for the induction of labour
Edna Keeney
### Lunch Rapid Oral Sessions

**13:00–14:00**

**Location**: Messe Congress Center

**LRO7**: Involvement of users and stakeholders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Chairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LRO7.1</td>
<td>Patient Involvement in systematic reviews – why it is so important?</td>
<td>Ruth Garside &amp; Susan Norris</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO7.2</td>
<td>Policymakers’ familiarity with and preference for overall quality of evidence definitions</td>
<td>Martha Gerrity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO7.3</td>
<td>An approach for systematic review teams to engage policymakers and stakeholders: from question selection to knowledge translation</td>
<td>Fadi El-Jardali</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO7.4</td>
<td>The challenges of using evidence-based methods for decisions at a regional level involving stakeholders without research training</td>
<td>Ludwig Grillich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO7.5</td>
<td>Extensive consumer/stakeholder engagement leads to practical key messages</td>
<td>Dwayne Van Eerd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO7.6</td>
<td>Does involvement in knowledge creation contribute to development of complex interventions? A realist review of involvement in diabetes research</td>
<td>Janet Harris</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LRO8**: Search filters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Chairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LRO8.1</td>
<td>Search filters: mapping the literature using a novel form of content analysis</td>
<td>Pauline Heus &amp; Andrea Siebenhofer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO8.2</td>
<td>UK filter project: methodological approach to reduce information overload by developing validated UK geographic search filters for MEDLINE and Embase</td>
<td>Jenny Craven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO8.3</td>
<td>Feasibility of developing and validating a geographic filter for a small country like Austria</td>
<td>Jenny Craven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO8.4</td>
<td>Finding qualitative literature on dementia in MEDLINE, EMBASE, PsycINFO and CINAHL: assessment of three qualitative search filters</td>
<td>Morwenna Rogers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO8.5</td>
<td>Use of filters in electronic searching for observational studies</td>
<td>Nishant Jaiswal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRO8.6</td>
<td>Refinement of search filters to reduce information overload when retrieving evidence on variations in practice for NICE Quality Standards</td>
<td>Jenny Craven</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sponsored Presentation

**IBM Watson**
Using IBM Watson to construct semantic models to extract relevant point-of-care information from Cochrane Reviews
Using Named Entity Recognition technologies contained in IBM Watson products, we are building the ontology of concepts contained in the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews: inclusion criteria, participants, interventions, comparisons, and outcomes (PICO), risk of bias assessments, conclusions, and dates. In the future, medical researchers and practitioners will be able to navigate the resulting network using simple queries and natural language queries and access the resulting information using tablets or similar convenient systems of engagement.

Speaker: IBM

13:15–13:45 Messe Congress Center

### Workshops

#### Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W28</td>
<td>Business Suite 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W29</td>
<td>Business Suite 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W38</td>
<td>Galerie 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W27</td>
<td>Galerie 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W26</td>
<td>Galerie 5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W22</td>
<td>Galerie 7–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W25</td>
<td>Galerie 9–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W34</td>
<td>Galerie 11–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W37</td>
<td>Galerie 13–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W36</td>
<td>Galerie 15–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W33</td>
<td>Galerie 17–18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Workshops

- **How to develop and publish a trustworthy evidence summary through the MAGIC authoring and publication platform**
  - Facilitators: Linn Brandt, Anja Fog Heen, Per Olav Vandvik
- **How to include economics in Cochrane review protocols 2:**
  - searches, risk of bias and methodological quality, data collection and analysis
- **Searching for diagnostic test accuracy (DTA) studies (restricted)**
  - Facilitators: Julie Glanville & Rene Spijker
- **New pathways for people to produce Cochrane evidence:**
  - Getting Involved and Task Exchange
  - Facilitators: Agustín Ciapponi, Julian Elliott, Sally Green, Chris Mavergames, Anna Noel-Storr, Tari Turner
- **Evaluating cross-cultural adaptation and pooling of data from patient and clinician questionnaires in global clinical trials**
  - Facilitator: Donald Patrick
- **Assessing implementation in complex interventions:**
  - tips, strategies, and examples
  - Facilitators: Andrew Booth, Margareth Cargo, Karin Hannes, Angela Harden, Janet Harris, Jane Noyes, Tomas Pantoja, James Thomas
- **Comparing multiple treatments: an introduction to overviews of reviews**
  - Facilitators: Ricardo Fernandes & Lisa Hartling
- **Navigating the clinical study report (CSR): a road map to the data abstraction of CSRs for systematic reviews**
  - Facilitators: Kay Dickersin, Nicole Fusco, Gillian Gresham, Tianjing Li
- **RevMan 5 for new and prospective Cochrane authors:**
  - learn how to use the software
  - Facilitators: Solange Durao, Joy Oliver, Elizabeth Plenaaar
- **Rapid Review workshop: timely evidence synthesis for decision-makers**
  - Facilitators: Chantelle Garrity, Ursula Griebler, Thomas Heise, Valerie King, Stefan Lhachimi, Margot Müttsch, Julie Polisena, Adrienne Stevens
- **Media awareness and story content; strategies for seeking out great Cochrane stories and sharing them with the media**
  - Facilitators: Jo Anthony & Nancy Owens

### Special Session

**S11 EMA**
The European Medicines Agency in dialogue with Cochrane
Both Cochrane and Regulatory Agencies work for better healthcare. The structures of the organizations and the mandates are different, but their objectives are the same. An intensified dialogue between both agencies and an understanding of the needs, expectations, and possibilities could save duplicate work and utilize complementary and collaborative efforts. This session aims at promoting a constructive dialogue between Cochrane and the European Medicines Agency.

Chairs: Harald Herkner & David Tovey
Speakers: Hans-Georg Eichler, Stephen Evans, Christoph Male

14:00–14:30 Messe Congress Center

14:00–15:30 Messe Congress Center

**Sponsored Presentation**

**IBM Watson**
Using IBM Watson to construct semantic models to extract relevant point-of-care information from Cochrane Reviews
Using Named Entity Recognition technologies contained in IBM Watson products, we are building the ontology of concepts contained in the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews: inclusion criteria, participants, interventions, comparisons, and outcomes (PICO), risk of bias assessments, conclusions, and dates. In the future, medical researchers and practitioners will be able to navigate the resulting network using simple queries and natural language queries and access the resulting information using tablets or similar convenient systems of engagement.

Speaker: IBM
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14:00–15:30</td>
<td>Messe Congress Center</td>
<td><strong>Workshops</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W23</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Challenges and solutions when carrying out mixed-methods literature reviews in resource-constrained settings</strong> Facilitators: Xavier Bosch-Capblanch, Sophie Hill, Sandy Oliver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W24</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Collaborative priority setting in clinical research: the James Lind Alliance Neuro-Oncology Priority Setting Partnership</strong> Facilitators: Helen Bulbeck, Julia Day, Robin Grant, Laura MacDonald, Richard Morley, Gail Quinn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W41</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Using the new online GRADEpro to create “Summary of Findings” tables</strong> Facilitators: Jan Brozek, Nancy Santesso, Holger Schünemann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W31</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Introducing a revised risk of bias tool for randomized trials</strong> Facilitators: Isabelle Boutron, Julian Higgins, Asbjörn Hróbjartsson, Jelena Savovic, Jonathan Sterne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W32</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Introduction to meta-analysis 2: meta-analysis of binary and continuous outcomes</strong> Facilitator: Joanne McKenzie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W39</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Systematic reviews of prognostic studies 2: assessing risk of bias in studies of prognostic factors using the QUIPS tool</strong> Facilitators: Jill Hayden, Karel Moons, Danielle Van der Windt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W40</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Trial Sequential Analysis controls random error risks in systematic review meta-analyses</strong> Facilitators: Ralf Bender, Christian Glaud, Janus Christian Jakobsen, Georgina Imberger, Rebecca Turner, Jarn Wetterslev</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W35</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Open Trials: building a linked database of all data and documents, on all trials</strong> Facilitator: Ben Goldacre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30–16:00</td>
<td>Messe Congress Center</td>
<td><strong>Afternoon Break</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:30–16:00</td>
<td>Mall area</td>
<td><strong>Attended Poster Session #1</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:00–17:30</td>
<td>Messe Congress Center</td>
<td><strong>Special Sessions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W35</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>S12 Evidenzbasierte Medizin</strong> Voraussetzung für ein zeitgemäßes Gesundheitssystem (session in German)** Facilitators: Jill Hayden, Karel Moons, Danielle Van der Windt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:00–19:45</td>
<td>Messe Congress Center</td>
<td><strong>Meeting</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRADE Working Group Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Location</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Restriction</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Lehar 4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Lehar 4</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Workshops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W45</td>
<td><strong>Cochrane Updating Classification System (UCS): helping readers and editors understand the status of reviews</strong>&lt;br&gt;Facilitators: Ruth Foxlee, Harriet MacLehose, Monaz Mehta</td>
<td>Galerie 5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W54</td>
<td><strong>Practical approaches to conducting an evidence-informed research priority setting exercise</strong>&lt;br&gt;Facilitators: Soumyadeep Bhunuk, Sally Crowe, Richard Morley, Mona Nasser, Jordi Pardo Pardo, Anneliese Synnot, Allison Tong, Emma Welsh</td>
<td>Galerie 7–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W44</td>
<td><strong>Cochrane Training - facilitation skills:</strong>&lt;br&gt;A positive learning climate for effective learning&lt;br&gt;Facilitators: Solange Durao, Tamara Kredo, Taryn Young</td>
<td>Galerie 9–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W57</td>
<td><strong>Systematic reviews of prognostic studies 1: assessing risk of bias in studies of prediction models using the PROBAST tool</strong>&lt;br&gt;Facilitators: Karel Moons, Penny Whiting, Robert Wolff</td>
<td>Galerie 11–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W42</td>
<td><strong>Accounting for missing outcome data in meta-analysis</strong>&lt;br&gt;Facilitators: Anna Chairani, Julian Higgins, Dimitris Mavridis, Georgia Salanti</td>
<td>Galerie 13–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W51</td>
<td><strong>Introduction to network meta-analysis</strong>&lt;br&gt;Facilitators: Orestis Efthimiou &amp; Adriani Nikolakopoulou</td>
<td>Galerie 15–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W62</td>
<td><strong>Assessing bias in selection of participants into studies in a Cochrane Review that includes non-randomized studies of an intervention (NRSI)</strong>&lt;br&gt;Facilitators: Barney Reeves &amp; Beverley Shea</td>
<td>Galerie 17–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W52</td>
<td><strong>Patient safety within Cochrane: can we create a patient safety portfolio to support the global drive for safer healthcare?</strong>&lt;br&gt;Facilitators: Anne Lyddiatt, Sera Tort, David Tovey</td>
<td>Galerie 19–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W58</td>
<td><strong>Targeted updates of Cochrane Reviews: meeting the needs of guideline developers</strong>&lt;br&gt;Facilitators: Giulia Boselli, Rachel Churchill, Charlotte Pestridge, Karla Soares-Weiser</td>
<td>Lehar 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W48</td>
<td><strong>How can we ensure that Cochrane’s standards and approaches to Plain Language Summaries are implemented? A discussion workshop</strong>&lt;br&gt;Facilitators: Claire Genton, Simon Goude, Nancy Santesso, Marta Sporstol Fonhus, Shaun Treweek</td>
<td>Lehar 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W55</td>
<td><strong>Reporting adverse events in reviews and the PRISMA Harms</strong>&lt;br&gt;Facilitators: Su Golder, Daniela Junqueira, Liliane Zorzela</td>
<td>Lehar 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Workshops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Code</th>
<th>Title of Workshop</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W56</td>
<td>Searching trials registers and trials results registers</td>
<td>Schubert 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W50</td>
<td>Introduction to meta-analysis 3: dealing with heterogeneity</td>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W59</td>
<td>The present and future use of text mining for study identification</td>
<td>Strauss 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Facilitators:**
- Gordon Dooley, Julie Glanville, Carol Lefebvre
- Joseph Beyene
- Sophia Ananiadou, Georgios Kontonatsios, James Thomas

### Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meeting Code</th>
<th>Meeting Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cochrane Adverse Effects Methods Group</td>
<td>Galerie 11–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cochrane Qualitative and Implementation Methods Group Conveners Meeting</td>
<td>Galerie 5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cochrane Work Review Group Meeting</td>
<td>Galerie 17–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colloquium Policy Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Galerie 15–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Editorial Resources Committee</td>
<td>Galerie 9–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meet the Cochrane Vascular Group</td>
<td>Galerie 13–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Producing Policy-Relevant Systematic Reviews</td>
<td>Galerie 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project Transform Team Meeting</td>
<td>Business Suite 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rapid Review Methods Group</td>
<td>Galerie 19–20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Waltz Lesson

**Meeting Space 2**

**Location:**

**Attendees:**

- Ticket holders only

### Austrian Food – Schnitzel Evening

Seats are guaranteed for ticket holders only (don’t forget to bring your € 10 voucher with you). If you do not have a ticket, you may still be able to get a seat in the restaurant, but we cannot guarantee seating.

Prater 116, 1020 Vienna
Alpine Water

Look at a menu in any Viennese restaurant and you might be confused by all the choices – prickelnd or mild or still – when all you really want is a normal glass of water. Can you get that here?

The answer is a resounding yes! And it will be some of the best tap water you’ve ever tasted. Promise. Because Vienna has a very high quality of water that comes straight to the city from the Alps in Lower Austria and Styria.

In the 19th century, as Vienna’s population soared, so did the sewage and hygiene problems. In the 1830s, a cholera epidemic broke out, killing 2,000 of the city’s inhabitants. The emperor recognized the need for a better water supply and began expanding the city’s water lines, but the city continued to grow and suffer from cholera and typhoid outbreaks. To combat the problem, construction on the first Vienna mountain water aqueduct began in 1870, and in 1873, Emperor Franz Josef I opened Europe’s most extensive water supply system. By 1888, ninety percent of Vienna’s houses were connected to pipes that supplied clean drinking water and originated in the mountain 100 kilometers to the southwest.

Now, over a century later, Vienna still gets its crystal-clear drinking water from the Alpine springs. The city is so convinced of the superior quality of its tap water that Vienna became the world’s first city to place its water under constitutional protection. Since December 2001, the city of Vienna has protected its liquid treasure for future generations in the Vienna Water Charter because, according to the charter, “Water should not be a luxury item.”

So, yes, drink the tap water here. You will not be disappointed.

Text by KC Blau, Vienna expat blogger and fiction writer (www.kcblau.com)
### Tuesday, 6 October

**7:30–8:45**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11:00–12:30</th>
<th>WORKSHOPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S14</td>
<td>Health data synthesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S15</td>
<td>Strategy to 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W21</td>
<td>Coividence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W30</td>
<td>Missing data outcome in trials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W60</td>
<td>Consumers for the terrified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W61</td>
<td>Narrative synthesis of quantitative data: public health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W63</td>
<td>GRADE-CERQual tool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W64</td>
<td>Core outcome measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W65</td>
<td>GRADE IED frameworks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W66</td>
<td>Harmonising Cochrane content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W67</td>
<td>Brief economic commentaries for reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W68</td>
<td>Citizenjuries’ experience on population screening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W69</td>
<td>Introduction to meta-analysis 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W70</td>
<td>Introduction to ROBIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W71</td>
<td>Peer review for Cochrane Reviews</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**11:00–12:30**

- **MEETINGS**
  - SPECIAL SESSIONS
    - S14 Health data synthesis
    - S15 Strategy to 2020
  - WORKSHOPS
    - W21 Coividence
    - W30 Missing data outcome in trials
    - W60 Consumers for the terrified
    - W61 Narrative synthesis of quantitative data: public health
    - W63 GRADE-CERQual tool
    - W64 Core outcome measures
    - W65 GRADE IED frameworks
    - W66 Harmonising Cochrane content
    - W67 Brief economic commentaries for reviews
    - W68 Citizenjuries’ experience on population screening
    - W69 Introduction to meta-analysis 4
    - W70 Introduction to ROBIS
    - W71 Peer review for Cochrane Reviews

**12:30–14:00**

**Lunch**

**12:30–14:00**

- **MEETINGS**
  - SPECIAL SESSIONS
  - WORKSHOPS

**14:00–onward**

**Free afternoon**

### Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meetings</th>
<th>Restriction</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campbell/Cochrane Technology Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Lehár 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer Alliance Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane ENT Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 11–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Information Retrieval Methods Group Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Business Suite 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Innovations Engaging with the Cochrane Community</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Schubert 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Insurance Medicine – A New Field within Cochrane</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Business Suite 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Library Regional Wiley/Cochrane Sales &amp; Access Strategy Summit: Middle East and Africa</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Lehár 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Public Health Editors’ Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 13–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Screening and Diagnostic Tests Methods Group</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Schubert 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Sexually Transmitted Infections Group</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyes and Vision Editorial Base Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 9–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iberoamerican Cochrane Network Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 17–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Informal Meet-Up with NIHR Colleagues</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 7–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meet Cochrane Musculoskeletal</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meet the Co-Chairs and CEO</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Lehár 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neonatal Review Group Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 15–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRO Methods Group Business Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 19–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RoB 2.0 Business Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Lehár 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statistical Methods Group</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translation Teams</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9:00–10:30 Messe Congress Center

**Plenary III: Sectors, settings, and innovative methods: reshaping the future of public health evidence**

In collaboration with the European Forum for Evidence-based Prevention

**Location**

Chairs: Rebecca Armstrong & Anita Rieder

- **Reshaping evidence use for public health nutrition**
  Mark Lawrence

- **Adopting a systems perspective in public health: methodological implications and stakeholder engagement**
  Eva Rehfuess

- **Sustainable, systematic & strategic: moving from assuring quality to improving quality of Cochrane Reviews**
  Toby Lasserson

10:30–11:00 Messe Congress Center

**Morning Break**

Mall area

11:00–12:30 Messe Congress Center

**Special Sessions**

**S14 Health data synthesis**

*From genomics to FitBit: the brave new world of health data synthesis*

**Location**

Schubert 2–3

This session aims to: help participants become familiar with the new sources of health data becoming available for potential synthesis and health decision-making and current approaches to assessing bias and synthesizing disparate data sources, furthermore, to discuss current challenges and activities in synthesizing diverse health data as well as potential opportunities to address these challenges and enable the development of methods and technical approaches for synthesis of diverse health data.

Chairs: Julian Elliott & Jeremy Grimshaw

Speakers: Lisa Bero, Julian Elliott, Jeremy Grimshaw, Ida Sim

**S15 Strategy to 2020**

*Delivering the strategy to 2020: progress on the 2015 targets*

**Location**

Schubert 5–6

This session will include presentations by target leads, followed by discussion. Attendees will come away from the session with a good understanding of the progress Cochrane is making in achieving the strategy to 2020 through the 2015 targets. All colloquium attendees who are interested in Cochrane’s Strategy to 2020 will find this session of interest.

Chair: Lucie Binder

Speakers: Jo Anthony, Chris Champion, Miranda Cumpston, Toby Lasserson, Harriet MacLehose, Chris Mavergames, Charlotte Pestridge, Juliane Ried, Hugh Sutherland, David Tovey, Mark Wilson, Julie Wood
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Code</th>
<th>Workshop Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W64</td>
<td>Core outcome measures for randomised controlled trials and Cochrane Reviews</td>
<td>Business Suite 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitators: Mike Clarke &amp; Paula Williamson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W67</td>
<td>How to develop brief economic commentaries for Cochrane intervention reviews</td>
<td>Business Suite 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W76</td>
<td>Use R! An Introduction to Meta-Analysis with R</td>
<td>Galerie 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitators: Gerta Rucker, Guido Schwarzer, Harriet Sommer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W71</td>
<td>Peer review for Cochrane Reviews</td>
<td>Galerie 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitators: John Hilton, Harriet MacLehose, Monaz Mehta, Deborah Pentesco-Gilbert,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sera Tort</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W74</td>
<td>That’s your evidence: basics for communicating the results of Cochrane Reviews to the</td>
<td>Galerie 5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>public</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitators: Britta Lang &amp; Ingrid Toews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W70</td>
<td>Introduction to ROBIS, a new tool to assess the risk of bias in a systematic review</td>
<td>Galerie 7–8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitators: Rachel Churchill, Jelena Savovic, Penny Whiting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W77</td>
<td>Using Cochrane reviews to inform future research</td>
<td>Galerie 9–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitators: Elie Akl, Klaas Brunnhuber, Hans Lund, Lawrence Mbuagbaw, Mona Nasser,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Karen Robinson, Holger Schünemann</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W68</td>
<td>How to provide evidence-based information and translate Cochrane reviews to lay</td>
<td>Galerie 11–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>people in a deliberative setting; the Italian and the Australian citizen juries’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>experience on population screening</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitators: Cinzia Colombo, Paul Glassioui, Paola Mosconi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W72</td>
<td>Searching for studies for inclusion in Cochrane reviews: an introduction</td>
<td>Galerie 13–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitators: Bernadette Coles, Julie Glanville, Carol Lefebvre</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W21</td>
<td>Covidence: Cochrane’s new online tool for review production (repeat session)</td>
<td>Galerie 15–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitators: Anneliese Arno, Agustín Ciapponi, Julian Elliott, Demián Glužovský</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W66</td>
<td>Harmonizing Cochrane content: the CRS, the Cochrane Linked Data project, and</td>
<td>Galerie 17–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HarmoniSR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitators: Gordon Dooley, Ruth Foxlee, Anna Noel-Storr, Elizabeth Stovold</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Workshops (12:30–14:00)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshop Code</th>
<th>Workshop Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W69</td>
<td>Introduction to meta-analysis 4: meta-analysis of time-to-event data</td>
<td>Schubert 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitator: Catrin Tudor Smith</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W73</td>
<td>Systematic reviews of prognostic studies 4: quantitative synthesis and meta-analytical</td>
<td>Schubert 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>approaches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitators: Thomas Debray &amp; Karel Moons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W65</td>
<td>GRADE Interactive Evidence to Decision (iEtD) frameworks: a tool to help</td>
<td>Strauss 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>decision-makers use evidence systematically when making decisions or</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>recommendations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitators: Pablo Alonso-Coello, Jenny Moberg, Andrew Oxman, Sarah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rosenbaum, Shaun Treweek</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W30</td>
<td>Identifying participants with missing outcome data in trials included in</td>
<td>Lehar 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>systematic reviews: challenges and suggested solutions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Facilitators: Elie Akl, Gordon Guyatt, Lara Kahalie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lunch Break**

Mall area
Wednesday, 7 October

12:30–14:00 Messe Congress Center

Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meetings</th>
<th>Restriction</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Public Health Satellites Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual Participant Data Meta-analysis Methods Group</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurology Alliance Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 15–16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12:30–16:00 Messe Congress Center

Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meetings</th>
<th>Restriction</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alliance for Patient Reported Outcomes</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 11–12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13:00–16:00 Messe Congress Center

Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meetings</th>
<th>Restriction</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Translations Advisory Group Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 5–6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

07:30 – 08:45 Meetings

09:00 – 10:30 Plenary IV Strauss 2–3
10:30 – 11:00 Morning Break Mall area
10:30 – 11:00 Attended Poster Session #2 Poster, exhibition & networking space
11:00 – 12:30 Oral Sessions & Special Sessions
12:30 – 14:00 Lunch Break Mall & Restaurants
12:30 – 14:00 Lunch Rapid Oral Sessions Schubert 1–6
13:15 – 13:45 Sponsored Presentation – IBM Watson Lehar 1
14:00 – 15:30 Special Sessions & Workshops
15:30 – 16:00 Afternoon Break Mall area
15:30 – 16:00 Attended Poster Session #2 Poster, exhibition & networking space
16:00 – 17:30 Closing Plenary V Strauss 2–3
18:30 – 23:30 Gala Dinner Wiener Rathaus, Vienna City Hall
### Wednesday, 7 October

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>MEETINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7:30–8:45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:00–10:30</td>
<td>PLENARY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30–11:00</td>
<td>Morning Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30–11:00</td>
<td>ATTENDED POSTER SESSION #2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:00–12:30</td>
<td>SPECIAL SESSIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S16  Cochrane/Wikipedia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S19  Methods debate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30–14:00</td>
<td>Lunch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:30–14:00</td>
<td>ORAL SESSIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O10  Filtering the information overload for better decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O11  Rapid reviews and overviews of reviews</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>O12  Prediction models</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:00–13:45</td>
<td>RAPID ORAL SESSIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RO19  Editorial issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RO20  Disseminating evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RO21  Systematic review and meta-analysis methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RO22  Guidelines and GRADE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RO23  Information retrieval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RO24  Advanced methods: Systematic review process and implementation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WORKSHOPS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W21  Covidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W96  EPPI-Reviewer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>WORKSHOPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14:00–15:30</td>
<td>SPECIAL SESSIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W88  Navigating the Cochrane Library</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W89  Peer reviewing DTA review searches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W91  Systematic reviews of prognostic studies 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W92  Terminology of data on adverse effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W93  Trusts, foundations, EU: accessing new funders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W94  Workshop for MEs &amp; Assistant MEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W95  Commenting on Plain Language Summaries (PLSs)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>PLENARY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18:30–23:30</td>
<td>Gala Dinner</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>MEETINGS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10:30–11:00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:15–13:45</td>
<td>SPONSORED PRESENTATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBM Watson for point of care information</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**IV** Clearing the path for research to reach decision-making: How can we make the vision a reality?
### Plenary IV: Clearing the path for research to reach decision-making: How can we make the vision a reality?

**Location**

Messe Congress Center

**Chairs:** Regina Kunz & Maria Ximena Rojas Reyes

**Speakers:**
- Brian Haynes
- Gerd Antes
- Maggie Nylen
- Glyn Elwyn

### Meetings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Meetings</th>
<th>Restriction</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Child Health Annual Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Clinical Answers: Update and Future Developments</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Schubert 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Italia Meeting</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cochrane Public Health Europe (CPHE)</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 9–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence Based Research Network Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 13–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence Based Toxicology Handbook</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 15–16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding Arbitration Panel Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handbook Editors’ and Authors’ Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Business Suite 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning for Joint ISEHC-Cochrane Colloquium, Cape Town 2017</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 17–18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searching for Studies Cochrane Handbook Chapter Authors’ Meeting</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 11–12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Media Specialists: Information Sharing Session</td>
<td>Open</td>
<td>Galerie 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSCs’ Exec Vienna Colloquium Meeting – Part 2</td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 7–8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Attended Poster Session #2

**Location**

Poster, exhibition & networking space
11:00–12:30
Messe Congress Center

**Special Session**

**S16 Cochrane/Wikipedia**
The Cochrane/Wikipedia partnership and the importance of knowledge translation

In early 2014, the IMS Institute for Healthcare Informatics cited Wikipedia as “the single leading source of medical information for patients and healthcare professionals.” Wikipedia is used by 50% to 70% of physicians in clinical practice and has also been reported as the single most used resource by medical students. Not long after this citation was published, Cochrane and the WikiMed Foundation, which oversees Wikipedia’s medical pages, formalized a partnership agreement to improve the evidence base in Wikipedia. This session will showcase some of the knowledge translation initiatives that have developed over the past 18–24 months, as well as provide opportunities to find out more about future plans for the partnership and how to get involved.

Chair: Nancy Owens
Speakers: James Heilman, Holly Millward, Sydney Poore, Juliane Ried

**S19 Methods debate**
Inclusion of registered trials only, statistical checks, and power estimation of the meta-analysis will improve the quality of Cochrane Systematic Reviews

Chair: Lisa Bero
Pro: Ian Roberts
Con: Jos Verbeek

**Oral Sessions**

**Location**

**O10** Filtering the information overload for better decisions
Lehar 1

Chairs: Chris Mavergames & Giordano Pérez-Gaxiola

**O10.1** The Embase project 2: crowdsourcing citation screening
Anna Noel-Storr

**O10.2** A machine learning approach to classifying articles for literature reviews based on titles and abstracts
Sara Steeves

**O10.3** Natural language processing to increase efficiency of systematic reviews: a pilot study
M. Hassan Murad

**O10.4** Evaluation of the priority ranking capabilities of SWIFT (Sciome Workbench for Interactive, Computer-Facilitated Text-mining) software
Ruchir Shah

**O11** Rapid reviews and overviews of reviews
Lehar 2

Chairs: Sohan Deshpande & Ursula Griebler

**O11.1** An evidence map of studies evaluating methods for conducting, interpreting, and reporting overviews of systematic reviews
Carole Lunny

**O11.2** Rapid review programs to support healthcare and policy decision-making: a descriptive analysis of processes and methods
Julie Polisena

**O11.3** Are 3 days enough to capture the key evidence for HTA documents?
Agustín Ciapponi

**O11.4** Effective treatment options for musculoskeletal pain conditions: a rapid meta-synthesis of current best evidence within primary care
Opeyemi Babatunde
### Oral Sessions

#### O12 Prediction models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lehar 3</td>
<td>Lehar 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chairs:** Benjamin Djulbegovic & Jonathan Sterne

- **O12.1** Checklist for critical Appraisal and data extraction in systematic Reviews of clinical prediction Modelling Studies (CHARMS)
  - Karel Moons
- **O12.2** Individual Participant Data (IPD) meta-analysis of diagnostic and prognostic modeling studies: a practical introduction to their rationale and conduct
  - Thomas Debray
- **O12.3** Imputation of missing adjusted data for non-significant factors in meta-analysis of prognostic studies
  - Jason Busse
- **O12.4** Are predictions from test accuracy meta-analyses valid in practice?
  - Brian H Willis

### Rapid Oral Sessions

#### R019 Editorial issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 1</td>
<td>Schubert 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Chairs:** Tamás Decsi & Taryn Young

- **R019.1** From Dublin to Vienna – the Cochrane Waltz
  - Lillebeth Larun
- **R019.2** Cochrane protocols: strategies for improving production
  - Sue Marcus
- **R019.3** A new approach to “empty” reviews
  - Kayleigh Kew
- **R019.4** Stop the press! Experience of managing serious complaints about a Cochrane Review
  - Sarah Armstrong
- **R019.5** Discernment of academic conflicts of interest for Cochrane Systematic Reviews
  - Celine Miyazaki
- **R019.6** Selective reporting of outcome data: are we following the Cochrane Handbook guidance?
  - Anne W.S. Rutjes
- **R019.7** Developing core competencies for scientific editors of biomedical journals
  - James Galipeau
- **R019.8** Updating patient information: a literature review
  - Martin Wegmann
- **R019.9** Getting best current evidence into practice through a web-based authoring and publication platform: a qualitative study of technological barriers and solutions
  - Linn Brandt
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rapid Oral Sessions</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20</strong> Disseminating evidence</td>
<td>Schubert 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.1</strong> The development of an evidence-based chronic diseases management resource for health practitioners to use at the point of care</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hanan Khalil</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.2</strong> Disseminating good evidence for Cochrane Systematic Reviews: practice and experience from China</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xun Li</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.3</strong> Assessing the impact of bibliographical support on the quality of medical care in patients admitted to an internal medicine service</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manuela Sarti</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.4</strong> The Ptolemy Project: knowledge translation and equity in East Africa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carla Hagstrom</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.5</strong> Use of research evidence in newborn and child health policies and practices in Kenya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamlick Karumbi</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.6</strong> Impact of Cochrane Corners in Portuguese journals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodrigo Sousa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.7</strong> Best evidence for better practice: using social media to help nurses engage with evidence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Chapman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.8</strong> Dissemination of Cochrane Reviews in social media channels and the influence of “positive” versus “negative” results</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netzahualpilli Delgado Figueroa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.9</strong> New evidence, new ways to share it, new audiences, Pushing the boundaries with social media</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Chapman</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.10</strong> Systematic review and meta-analysis methods</td>
<td>Schubert 2-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.11</strong> How often does an individual trial agree with its corresponding meta-analysis? A meta-epidemiologic study</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Threapleton</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.12</strong> Confounding, effect modification, and the odds ratio: common misinterpretations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ian Shrier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.13</strong> Cross-over trials – are we doing it right? Are they doing it right?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Nolan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.14</strong> How do Cochrane review authors interpret subgroup analyses?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marty Richardson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.15</strong> Is the risk difference really a more heterogeneous measure?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ian Shrier</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.16</strong> Small studies are more heterogeneous than large ones: a meta-meta-analysis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joanna IntHout</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.17</strong> Evaluation of statistical heterogeneity measures and their precision in systematic reviews with meta-analysis and the impact in their interpretation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rafael Eduardo Ruiz Gaviria</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.18</strong> Systematic reviews should explore a priori hypotheses to explain heterogeneity even when I² is low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gordon Guyatt</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO20.19</strong> Adding non-randomized and non-controlled studies to an existing review on the effectiveness of chronic disease management programs for asthmatic patients</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isabelle Peytreman-Bridevaux</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Kunst in Vienna

Vienna’s art scene is as varied as it is extensive. You can spend the morning enjoying the graffiti-filled walls of the Danube Canal and the afternoon contemplating the works of Dürer, Monet, and Klimt. Below are some of the city’s choice locations:

Upper Belvedere Castle: Boasting not just The Kiss, but the world’s largest collection of Gustav Klimt paintings, the Belvedere offers the perfect location for works of art.

Albertina: View the works of the world’s most famous impressionist and post-impressionist artists such as Monet, Renoir, and Cézanne as well as several Picasso paintings. The Albertina is also home to famous works by Dürer, Raphael, Michelangelo, Bruegel the Elder, Rubens, Warhol, Liechtenstein, and… Well. You get the picture. Tip: buy your ticket online at the www.albertina.at website and avoid the queue when you get there.

Leopold Museum: This is the place for Austrian works of art – Klimt, Schiele, Kokoschka, and more. In addition, the Museum Quarter, where the museum is located, is a great place to chill out and also offers free wireless.

Vienna Museum of Art History: Wide marble staircases, intricate floor tile designs, and vibrant ceiling paintings combine to give the museum the grand opulence of the works it houses. Some well-known pieces you’ll find here include Bruegel the Elder’s Tower of Babel, Rubens’ The Four Great Rivers of Antiquity, and Vermeer’s The Art of Painting.

Secession: The Golden Cabbage’s founders, a group of young Viennese artists, wanted to make a bold statement with the museum, inside and out, and let the world know they had no intention of painting cherubs and fruit baskets. One of those artists was Gustav Klimt, who contributed the museum’s most famous attraction – the Beethoven Frieze.

Danube Canal: Stroll along the Danube Canal and take in both Austrian and international graffiti – from very talented and novice artists alike – featured from the Schottenring subway station (Herminengasse exit) all the way down past Schwedenplatz.

Text by KC Blau, Vienna expat blogger and fiction writer (www.kcblau.com)
### 23rd Cochrane Colloquium

**Wednesday, 7 October**

**11:00–12:30**

**Messe Congress Center**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rapid Oral Sessions</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO23</strong> Information Retrieval</td>
<td>Business Suite 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO23.1</strong> Searching for trials in systematic reviews versus a specialist trials register: a case study comparison of source, time, and costs</td>
<td>Chairs: Christine Schmucker &amp; Luming Shi \nGinny Brunton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO23.2</strong> Searching trials registers to identify relevant studies for Cochrane Reviews: verifying the optimal search approaches</td>
<td>Maria-Inti Metzendorf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO23.3</strong> Efficiently searching for exhaustiveness: how to perform high-quality searches faster</td>
<td>Wichor Bramer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO23.4</strong> Is it possible to focus EMTREE without loss of sensitivity when searching Embase for systematic reviews?</td>
<td>Evidence from practice \nSteven Duffy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO23.5</strong> How do the search results of the Ichushi-Web influence systematic review? \nThe case of rapid influenza diagnostic tests (RIDTs)</td>
<td>Noriko Kojimahara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO23.6</strong> Searching Chinese biomedical databases: current practice among Cochrane reviewers</td>
<td>Junfeng Wang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO23.7</strong> Supplementary searches of PubMed to improve currency of MEDLINE and MEDLINE In-Process searches via Ovid: evidence from practice</td>
<td>Lisa Stirk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO23.8</strong> The Cochrane EMBASE project for populating the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL): can we stop searching EMBASE separately?</td>
<td>Samantha Faulkner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RO23.9</strong> Search summary table for systematic reviews (SRs)</td>
<td>Alison Bethel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **RO24** Novel approaches of interpreting and implementing healthcare evidence | Business Suite 3–4 |
| **RO24.1** Implementation and context: a concept analysis | Chairs: Jörg Meerpohl & Rob Scholten \nLisa Pfladenhauer |
| **RO24.2** Personalized music for residents with dementia in a long-term care setting. Putting wheels on the evidence from the ALOIS database | Catherine Hofstetter |
| **RO24.3** The use of statistics as a drunken man uses lampposts: for support rather than illumination | Andrea Corradini |
| **RO24.4** Change score or follow-up score? An empirical evaluation of the impact of choice of mean difference estimates | Rongwei (Rochelle) Fu |
| **RO24.5** Is Kudos a valuable resource for Cochrane authors? | Gavin Stewart |
| **RO24.6** The use of content knowledge in the assessment of bias in trials | Hendrika Luijendijk |
| **RO24.7** Cochrane Reviews in dentistry: making sense of evidence at its highest level – an analysis | Priti Mulimani |
| **RO24.8** A systematic review and external validation of prediction models for gestational diabetes: the RESPECT study | Marije De Ruiter |
### Workshops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshops</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>W21</strong> Covidence: Cochrane's new online tool for review production</td>
<td>Galerie 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(repeat session)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitators: Anneliese Arno, Agustín Ciapponi,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Julian Elliott, Demián Glujovsky</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>W96</strong> EPPI-Reviewer: an online tool for conducting complex reviews</td>
<td>Galerie 5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(repeat session)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitators: Sergio Graziosi &amp; James Thomas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lunch Break

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lunch Break</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mall &amp; Restaurants</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Meeting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEs' Exec Vienna Colloquium Meeting – Part 2</th>
<th>Restriction</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Restricted</td>
<td>Galerie 1–2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Wednesday, 7 October**

### Lunch Rapid Oral Sessions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 4</td>
<td>Schubert 2-3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### LR010 Missing data

- **LR010.1** How much study participant data is missing from our trials?  
  Jamie Kirkham
- **LR010.2** Extent and handling of missing dichotomous outcome data for participants in 672 trials included in 100 Cochrane and non-Cochrane systematic reviews  
  Lara Kahale
- **LR010.3** Reporting and analysis of missing participant continuous data in randomized controlled trials (LOST-IT-II): a systematic survey  
  Yuqing Zhang
- **LR010.4** Reported versus actual analytical methods to handle missing participant data in meta-analysis: a survey of 100 systematic reviews  
  Lara Kahale
- **LR010.5** Prognostic models for cardiovascular disease risk in the general population: a systematic review  
  Anneke Damen
- **LR010.6** Meta-analysis methods for better validation of prediction models  
  Ewout Steyerberg

### LR011 Diagnostic test accuracy reviews

- **LR011.1** Generating an interactive database for international collaboration on a Cochrane diagnostic test accuracy review  
  Dominik Roth
- **LR011.2** The reference standard for diagnostic imaging test accuracy  
  Martin Reed
- **LR011.3** Methods for assessing validity of diagnostic tests in rare diseases  
  Lorena Canon
- **LR011.4** Summarising diagnostic accuracy using a single parameter  
  Mark Simmonds
- **LR011.5** Using full information on cut-offs per study to determine an optimal cut-off in diagnostic test accuracy reviews  
  Gerta Rücker
- **LR011.6** Time trends in summary estimates from meta-analyses of diagnostic accuracy studies  
  Daniel Korevaar
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13:00–14:00 Messe Congress Center

**Lunch Rapid Oral Sessions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>13:00–14:00</th>
<th>Messe Congress Center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
<td>Lunch Rapid Oral Sessions</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LR012 Decision-making: policy and public health</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LR012.1 Evaluation of an organizational strategy for evidence-informed decision-making</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megan Ward</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LR012.2 Developing a conceptual framework to support coverage decisions for vaccines adoption: a Delphi consensus process</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marien González-Lorenzo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LR012.3 Influencing policy for promoting appropriate prescribing and medicines use: training key decision-makers to use a database of systematic reviews</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heather Colquhoun</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LR012.4 Does presenting the findings of a review change public health decision-makers’ view on an intervention?</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip Baker</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LR012.5 Translating health research to policy: taking forward evidence-informed decision-making in Nepal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khem Karki</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>LR012.6 A methodological approach for binary data to determine the extent of treatment effect in the early benefit assessment of drugs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralf Bender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13:15–13:45 Messe Congress Center

**Sponsored Presentation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>13:15–13:45</th>
<th>Messe Congress Center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lehár 1</td>
<td>Sponsored Presentation</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM Watson</td>
<td>Using IBM Watson to construct semantic models to extract relevant point-of-care information from Cochrane Reviews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Using Named Entity Recognition technologies contained in IBM Watson products, we are building the ontology of concepts contained in the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews: inclusion criteria, participants, interventions, comparisons, and outcomes (PICO), risk of bias assessments, conclusions, and dates. In the future, medical researchers and practitioners will be able to navigate the resulting network using simple queries and natural language queries and access the resulting information using tablets or similar convenient systems of engagement. In this presentation, PARADIGMA will present a first prototype of the ontology that has been automatically extracted from the Cochrane corpus, share our experiences in the process of developing the ontology, and demonstrate its use in the context of search and discovery.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speaker: Rudolf Bauer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14:00–15:30 Messe Congress Center

**Special Session**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>14:00–15:30</th>
<th>Messe Congress Center</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lehár 4</td>
<td>Special Session</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S17 Rapid reviews</td>
<td>Rapid reviews: terminology, methodology, and potential utility for Cochrane</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This session will bring together individuals and groups that produce rapid reviews, coordinate rapid review or rapid response programs, conduct methodological research on rapid reviews, or examine novel (including technological) approaches to increase efficiencies in reviews. Our anticipated outcome is to determine the relevance of rapid reviews for Cochrane and to prioritize a methodological research agenda to advance the field with particular relevance to Cochrane.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chair: Lisa Hartling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speakers: Chantelle Garrity, Jeanne-Marie Guise, Lisa Hartling, Valerie King, Chris Mavergames, Charlotte Pestridge, Gabriel Rada, Adrienne Stevens, Andrea Tricco, Craig Umscheid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Special Session

**S18 Systematic reviews guiding future research: opportunities and challenges**

This session will interest members of Cochrane, Researchers, Funders, Policy Makers, Regulators, Publishers, and Sponsors. The session is organized by Mona Nasser and Hans Lund on behalf of the Evidence Based Research Network. It aims to answer the question: how can we ensure that systematic reviews become an integral part of future decisions in funding, conducting, or publishing new research?

**Location**: Lehar 3

**Chair**: Sally Crowe

**Speakers**: Klara Brunnhuber, Hans Lund, Lawrence Mbuagbaw, Mona Nasser, Karen Robinson, Matt Westmore

### Workshops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshops</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>W79</strong> Adding evidence to Wikipedia: edit-a-thon</td>
<td>Business Suite 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitator: Nancy Owens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>W84</strong> Guidance for conducting and reporting</td>
<td>Business Suite 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>equity-related systematic reviews</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitators: Elizabeth Kristjansson, Mark Petticrew, Kevin Pottie, Zara</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagiynykova</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>W81</strong> Donate your time as a Cochrane translator:</td>
<td>Galerie 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>introductory workshop for interested volunteers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitators: Hayley Hassan &amp; Juliane Ried</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>W87</strong> Living Cochrane Reviews: now, later, or never?</td>
<td>Galerie 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitators: Julian Elliott, Sophie Hill, Chris Mavergames, Charlotte</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pestriddle, Mark Simmonds, Anneliese Synnot, Britta Tendal, James Thomas</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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14:00–15:30  Messe Congress Center

Workshops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workshops</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W82 Ensuring that patient-centered outcomes are included in research</td>
<td>Schubert 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitators: Reva Datar, Kay Dickersin, Jimmy Le, Anne Lyddiatt, Richard Morley, Ian Saldanha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W88 Navigating the Cochrane Library</td>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitators: Colleen Finley &amp; Gavin Stewart</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W3 Assessing and adjusting for outcome-reporting bias in systematic reviews</td>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitators: Jamie Kirkham &amp; Paula Williamson</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W94 Workshop for Managing Editors and Assistant Managing Editors</td>
<td>Strauss 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitators: Sally Bell-Syer, Karin Dearness, Anupa Shah</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W75 Train the Trainer: what training do the trainers require in order to improve?</td>
<td>Lehar 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilitators: Miranda Cumpston, Lotty Hoof, Peter Mahlknecht, Dario Sambunjak, Chris Watts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

15:30–16:00  Messe Congress Center

Afternoon Break

15:30–16:00  Messe Congress Center

Attended Poster Session #2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poster, exhibition &amp; networking space</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16:00–17:30  Messe Congress Center

Closing Plenary V: Nothing in life is to be feared; it is only to be understood

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strauss 2–3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Closing the 23rd Cochrane Colloquium

Gerald Gartlehner & Kylie Thaler

Gala Dinner (ticket holders only)

Doors open at 18:30

Afterparty will be announced at the event.

Friedrich-Schmidt-Platz 1, 1010 Vienna – Entrance on the side via the Lichtenfelsgasse

18:30–23:30  Wiener Rathaus, Vienna City Hall

Gala Dinner (ticket holders only)

Doors open at 18:30

Afterparty will be announced at the event.

Friedrich-Schmidt-Platz 1, 1010 Vienna – Entrance on the side via the Lichtenfelsgasse

The Vienna City Hall is located on the Ringstraße and easily accessible with the underground U2, exit station Rathaus, or the tram line numbers 1, 2, 71 or D. Entrance on the side via Lichtenfelsgasse
Social Program

Welcome Reception

Kursalon, Stadtpark Vienna (Johannesgasse 33, 1010 Vienna)
Saturday, 3 October 2015, Doors open at 19:00

This charming reception venue situated in the Vienna City Park will enable you to connect with Cochrane Colloquium attendees while experiencing a “taste of Vienna” with delicious foods (standing buffet) paired with white wine from the Wachau Valley and red wine from sunny Burgenland. Don’t miss the strudel filled with either apple or cream cheese.

Originally, the Kursalon was intended to increase the recreational value of the enclosed City Park by offering curative waters to drink. Soon after its completion in 1867, the first concert featuring compositions by Johann Strauss II took place. The Kursalon rapidly became the meeting place for Viennese society, who celebrated the cult of the waltz and joie de vivre.

The organizing committee wishes you a delightful evening with cheerful classical, waltz, and jazz music.

Participants must be registered for this event and present their tickets at the door to gain access. Tickets will be provided upon Colloquium check-in.

The dress code for this event is smart casual.

Text by KC Blau, Vienna expat blogger and fiction writer (www.kcblau.com)
Waltz Lesson

Colloquium venue – Reed Messe Wien ground floor
Monday, 5 October 2015, 17:45 – 19:00

One-two-three, one-two-three… Practice the oldest and fastest of ballroom dances, the Viennese Waltz, in a 75-minute long lesson. We promise you will leave light-hearted and feel like flying after the Waltz lesson.

If you have registered, please bring your voucher to the Waltz Lesson.

There is no specific dress code. We encourage you to bring shoes that are lightweight with a sole that can slide and rotate without a lot of grip (leather sole, ideally) for the perfect dancing experience.

Austrian Food – Schnitzel Evening

Schweizerhaus (Prater 116, 1020 Vienna)
Monday, 5 October 2015, 19:30

For those who are hungry after the Waltz lesson and for those who want to join the more “gemütliche” (comfortable, relaxed) part of the evening, we have reserved a section at the nearby “Schweizerhaus,” a restaurant firmly embedded in Vienna’s culture and loved by both locals and tourists. There you will be able to choose from a variety of typical Austrian dishes (cold and warm) and sample some of their beer specialities.

If you have registered for this event, don’t forget to bring your €10 voucher to the restaurant. The reservation is limited to 150 people. If you do not have a ticket you may still be able to get a seat in the restaurant, but we cannot guarantee it.
Gala Dinner

Wiener Rathaus, Vienna City Hall (Friedrich-Schmidt-Platz 1, 1010 Vienna
Entrance on the side via the Lichtenfelsgasse), Wednesday, 7 October 2015, Doors open at 18:30

Tonight, the City Hall, head office of Vienna’s municipal administration, opens their doors for the Gala Dinner. Enjoy an unforgettable evening with your Colloquium colleagues, glide over the parquet to Waltz music, and keep on dancing the night away to popular tunes spun by our DJ.

The Vienna City Hall was built between 1872 and 1883 in the Neo-Gothic style. Stones from many different regions of the Austro-Hungarian Empire were used in the construction of this magnificent building. Among the architectural highlights of the City Hall are the Grand Staircases that will lead you up to the Festival banquet hall.

Participants must be registered for this event and present their tickets at the door to gain access. Tickets will be provided upon Colloquium check-in.

The dress code for this event is elegant. Please note that the Gala Dinner will end at midnight. Details about the “after party” will be available at the event.

The Vienna City Hall is located on the Ringstraße and easily accessible with the underground U2, exit station Rathaus, or the tram line numbers 1, 2, 71 or D. Entrance on the side via Lichtenfelsgasse.

Sightseeing Tours & Concerts

Sign up for the sightseeing tours on-site at the registration desk.

Our conference organizing partner, Austropa Interconvention, has paired with us to create a program of options for your free time in Vienna! These organized tours are all scheduled around the main Colloquium program, and you can only book tickets through Austropa.

You can choose between the Anne Anderson Walk – Vienna Highlights, The Third Man Tour, Klosterneuburg Monastery & Wine Tasting, or the Vienna Scenic City Tour & Schönbrunn Palace (Bus Tour). You can also have a look behind the scenes of the Spanish Riding School or the Musikverein (Concert House).

Please refer to the Booking Homepage of Austropa Interconvention for more details:

http://www.austropa-interconvention.at/congress/cochrane_2015/sightseeing_tours.asp
Prizes & Awards

Anne Anderson Award

Anne Anderson was a contributor to the stream of thinking and effort that gave birth to evidence-based healthcare. She had an active interest in women’s health and contributed to multiple published volumes dealing with this subject. Sadly, Anne died of breast cancer in 1983 at age 46.

Following in the footsteps of Anne Anderson, many outstanding women continue to contribute and inspire other women to improve health knowledge for the good of their communities. Often these women are quiet achievers who might otherwise not be recognized. The goal of the Anne Anderson Award is to recognize and stimulate individuals contributing to the enhancement of women’s visibility and participation in the Cochrane leadership. The award is given to a Cochrane member who has contributed meaningfully to the promotion of women as leaders and contributors to the organization.

Past or current active women members of Cochrane are eligible for the award. Consideration is also given in the selection process to the nominee’s contribution to or enhancement of women’s visibility within Cochrane, participation in Cochrane and other leadership activities, and other accomplishments within the context of Cochrane.

The recipient receives a plaque from Cochrane honoring her contributions. The cash award of US$3000 will be designated by the recipient to assist a woman from a low-resource setting with Cochrane activities, who should provide a brief written report on how the funds have been used.

Thomas C Chalmers Award

Tom Chalmers (1917–1995) was an outspoken advocate of randomized trials and is perhaps best known for the notion “randomize the first patient.” His belief was that it is more ethical to randomize patients than to treat them in the absence of good evidence.

The Thomas C Chalmers Award is given at each Cochrane Colloquium to the principal author of both the best oral and the best poster presentation addressing methodological issues related to systematic reviews given by an early career investigator. The presentations must demonstrate originality of thought, high-quality science, relevance to the advancement of the science of systematic reviews, and clarity of presentation.

Presentations are judged by the Thomas C Chalmers Award Committee during the course of the Colloquium, and the two recipients receive a certificate and US$500 each.

Chris Silagy Prize

Chris Silagy (1960–2001) was the founding Director of the Australasian Cochrane Centre, a former Chair of the Cochrane Steering Group, and was instrumental in Cochrane’s development and success.

Chris requested that this Prize be used to recognize contributions to Cochrane in ways that are often insufficiently recognized; for example, providing administration, management, Colloquium organization, and communication and motivation – in short, the “glue” that helps keep Cochrane together.

The Chris Silagy Prize is awarded at every Cochrane Colloquium to an individual who has made an “extraordinary” contribution to Cochrane’s work.

The Prize consists of AUD$1000 (drawn from the Chris Silagy Memorial Fund), a certificate that includes the words “for an extraordinary contribution to the work of The Cochrane Collaboration,” and expenses associated with attending the Colloquium.
William (Bill) Silverman (1924–2004) was one of the founders of American neonatal medicine. However, he often evoked somewhat contradictory responses amongst his colleagues because he was in the habit of raising troubling questions about the scientific basis and ethics of his and their practices. He believed that criticism helps drive progress. Furthermore, he believed that criticism should not be limited to examining the work of others, but should also include self-criticism. The Bill Silverman Prize explicitly acknowledges Cochrane’s value of criticism with an emphasis on helping to improve Cochrane’s work and thus achieve its aim of helping people make well-informed decisions about healthcare by providing the best possible evidence on the effects of healthcare interventions.

The criteria for the Prize are that the publication or presentation has evaluated any aspect of the preparation, maintenance, or dissemination of Cochrane Reviews or Cochrane’s work more generally, and: is of high quality; is accompanied by constructive suggestions on how the relevant aspects of the work of Cochrane could be improved; and has had, or is likely to have, a positive impact on the scientific quality, relevance, and use of Cochrane Reviews.

The Prize consists of a certificate and US$1000 to the corresponding author of the selected publication or presentation.

Kenneth Warren Prize

Kenneth Warren (1929–1996) was one of the first people to draw attention to the need for valid summaries of key research studies and to the way that electronic media could be used to disseminate the results of health research relevant to people in developing countries. Dr. Warren was a source of encouragement and support for many young people, particularly those living in developing countries.

The Kenneth Warren Prize is awarded annually to the principal author who is a national living in a developing country and has published a Cochrane Review that is judged to be both of high methodological quality and relevant to health problems in developing countries.

Cochrane Stories

Cochrane Stories is a new series of special sessions created for the Vienna Colloquium. Cochrane is in a period of transition. We are looking forward and setting ourselves goals. We have even changed the way we appear to the world. But the fundamental building blocks that make up Cochrane are our successes – that we exist, what we have created, and the various impacts we have made. Many of us know these “stories,” but we don’t get many chances to tell them.

The Vienna Colloquium is one of the largest ever. Many visitors to the Colloquium this year are new to Cochrane. We asked our non-Cochrane colleagues in Austria, “What do you want to hear about at the Colloquium?” and they answered, “We want to know who you are, what you do, and why you do it. Why is this all so important?”

So we decided to tell them! We have invited three groups of Cochrane contributors to tell their stories. And there are plenty more left for future Colloquiums—we know! The three “Cochrane Stories” sessions this year are on neuraminidase inhibitors (Tamiflu®, Relenza®), mammography screening, and Evidence Aid / public health. Cochrane stories are not scientific presentations of numbers and facts but rather personal stories of struggles, challenges, successes, and maybe failures. We invite you to attend, listen, be entertained, and enjoy.
We have classified our program of oral sessions, posters, and workshops into categories that might be of specific interest for attendees.

**Streams**

**The basics (workshops)**

These workshops are designed to give you a fundamental introduction to the various methods of systematic reviews, including searching, assessing risk of bias, using the RevMan software, the new Covidence tool, and to GRADE/Summary of Findings tables.

**Sunday, 4 October 11:00–12:30**

Workshop W21: Covidence: Cochrane’s new online tool for review production (repeat session) 
Galerie 3–4

**Sunday, 4 October 16:00–17:30**

Workshop W6: High quality data abstraction for systematic reviews: From form development to data exportation 
Galerie 9–10

Workshop W8: How to use GRADE to assess, interpret and present findings of a review 
Lehar 2–3

Workshop W10: Introduction to meta-analysis 1: basic ideas for novices 
Lehar 2

Workshop W18: Using the Cochrane RCT Risk of Bias Tool in Systematic Reviews 
Schubert 2–3

**Monday, 5 October 11:00–12:30**

Workshop W21: Covidence: Cochrane’s new online tool for review production (repeat session) 
Galerie 3–4

Workshop W32: Introduction to meta-analysis 2: meta-analysis of binary and continuous outcomes 
Schubert 1

Workshop W37: RevMan 5 for new and prospective Cochrane authors – Learn how to use the software 
Galerie 13–14

Workshop W41: Using the new online GRADEpro to create “Summary of Findings” tables 
Lehar 3

**Tuesday, 6 October 11:00–12:30**

Workshop W21: Covidence: Cochrane’s new online tool for review production (repeat session) 
Galerie 3–4

Workshop W69: Introduction to meta-analysis 4: meta-analysis of time-to-event data 
Schubert 1

Workshop W72: Searching for studies for inclusion in Cochrane reviews: an introduction 
Galéria 13–14

**Wednesday, 7 October 11:00–12:30**

Workshop W21: Covidence: Cochrane’s new online tool for review production (repeat session) 
Galerie 3–4

Workshop W86: Introduction to meta-analysis 5: including non-standard studies and non-standard data 
Lehar 2

Workshop W88: Navigating the Cochrane Library 
Schubert 2–3

Workshop W92: Terminology challenges for data extraction and analysis of data on adverse effects 
Galerie 16–16

Workshop W95: Writing and commenting on Plain Language Summaries (PLSs) to improve quality 
Galerie 5–6

**Non-randomized designs**

**Sunday, 4 October 16:00–17:30**

Workshop W78: ACROBAT-NRSI (A Cochrane Risk Of Bias Assessment Tool: for Non-Randomized Studies of Interventions) for non-clinical community based studies: a participatory workshop using a worked example from public health 
Schubert 4

**Monday, 5 October 16:00–17:30**

Workshop W62: Assessing bias due to confounding in a Cochrane systematic review which includes non-randomised studies of an intervention (NRSI) 
Galerie 17–18

**Wednesday, 7 October 14:00–15:30**

Workshop W43: Assessing bias in selection of participants into the study in a Cochrane Review, that includes non-randomised studies of an intervention (NRSI) 
Galerie 17–18
### Statistical methods (advanced)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session/Workshop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunday, 4 October</td>
<td>11:00–12:30</td>
<td>Rapid oral session 6: Individual patient data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Business Suite 3–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday, 4 October</td>
<td>14:00–15:30</td>
<td>Rapid oral session 9: Meta-analysis methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday, 4 October</td>
<td>16:00–17:30</td>
<td>Workshop W4: Bayesian Meta-analysis hands-on in WinBUGS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Galerie 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Workshop W13: Patient-relevant causal effects vs. Intention to Treat: A guide to understanding complex analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Galerie 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, 5 October</td>
<td>10:30–11:00</td>
<td>Poster session #1: Individuals shared decision-making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15:30–16:00</td>
<td>Poster, exhibition &amp; networking space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, 5 October</td>
<td>11:00–12:30</td>
<td>Oral session 9: Systematic review and meta-analysis methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lehar 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, 5 October</td>
<td>14:00–15:30</td>
<td>Workshop W40: Trial Sequential Analysis controls random error risks in systematic review meta-analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schubert 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, 5 October</td>
<td>16:00–17:30</td>
<td>Workshop W42: Accounting for missing outcome data in meta-analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Galerie 13–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, 6 October</td>
<td>11:00–12:30</td>
<td>Workshop W73: Systematic reviews of prognostic studies 4: Quantitative synthesis and meta-analytical approaches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schubert 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, 6 October</td>
<td>13:00–14:00</td>
<td>Rapid oral session 17: Network meta-analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Business suite 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, 7 October</td>
<td>11:00–12:30</td>
<td>Workshop W76: Use R! An Introduction to Meta-Analysis with R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Galerie 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, 7 October</td>
<td>14:00–15:30</td>
<td>Rapid oral session 21: Systematic review and meta-analysis methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schubert 2–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, 7 October</td>
<td>16:00–17:30</td>
<td>Workshop W83: Graphs to enhance understanding and improve interpretability of the evidence from network meta-analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Galerie 9–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop W85: Individual Participant Data (IPD) meta-analysis of prognostic studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Galerie 13–14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Consumer issues and shared decision-making

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session/Workshop</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sunday, 4 October</td>
<td>13:00–14:00</td>
<td>Lunch rapid oral session 4: Decision-making: End users and consumers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schubert 5–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, 5 October</td>
<td>16:00–17:30</td>
<td>Workshop W53: Patients’ valuation of outcomes: evaluating the certainty of the evidence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Galerie 1–2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, 5 October</td>
<td>10:30–11:00</td>
<td>Poster session #1: Consumer issues and shared decision-making P1-110–P1-129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15:30–16:00</td>
<td>Poster, exhibition &amp; networking space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monday, 5 October</td>
<td>14:00–15:30</td>
<td>Workshop W58: Targeted updates of Cochrane Reviews: meeting the needs of guideline developers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lehar 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuesday, 6 October</td>
<td>11:00–12:30</td>
<td>Workshop W60: “Consumers for the terrified” or identifying good practice in involving consumers in the work of Cochrane Review Groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lehar 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop W65: GRADE Interactive Evidence to Decision (iEtD) frameworks: a tool to help decision makers to use evidence systematically when making decisions or recommendations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strauss 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, 7 October</td>
<td>10:30–11:00</td>
<td>Poster session #2: Involvement of users and stakeholders P2-100–P2-106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15:30–16:00</td>
<td>Poster, exhibition &amp; networking space</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wednesday, 7 October</td>
<td>14:00–15:30</td>
<td>Workshop W82: Ensuring that patient-centered outcomes are included in research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Schubert 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Qualitative synthesis & complex interventions

**Sunday, 4 October**
- **11:00–12:30**
  - Special session S1: Complex interventions
  - Strauss 1

**Sunday, 4 October**
- **14:00–15:30**
  - Workshop W22: Assessing implementation in complex interventions: tips, strategies and examples
  - Galerie 7–8

**Monday, 5 October**
- **10:30–11:00 (morning break) & 15:30–16:00 (afternoon break)**
  - Poster session #1: Mixed methods / implementation
  - Poster, exhibition & networking space

**Monday, 5 October**
- **11:00–12:30**
  - Workshop W23: Challenges and solutions when carrying out mixed methods literature reviews in resource constrained settings
  - Galerie 19–20

**Monday, 5 October**
- **13:00–14:00 (lunch)**
  - Workshop W1: Advanced Q&A session for Embase
  - Galerie 3–4

**Monday, 5 October**
- **14:00–15:30**
  - Workshop W2: Adverse effects in systematic reviews: challenges for formulating the question and searching for different studies and sources of information
  - Galerie 19–20

**Tuesday, 6 October**
- **11:00–12:30**
  - Workshop W6: Assessing how much confidence to place in findings from qualitative evidence syntheses: a new version of the GRADE-CERQual tool
  - Lehr 3

## Searching the evidence (advanced)

**Sunday, 4 October**
- **11:00–12:30**
  - Oral Session 2: Information retrieval
  - Lehr 2

**Sunday, 4 October**
- **13:00–14:00 (lunch)**
  - Lunch rapid oral Session 3: Information retrieval
  - Schubert 2–3

**Sunday, 4 October**
- **14:00–15:30**
  - Workshop W38: Searching for diagnostic test accuracy (DTA) studies
  - Galerie 1–2

**Monday, 5 October**
- **11:00–12:30**
  - Workshop W66: Harmonising Cochrane content: The CRS, the Cochrane Linked Data project and HarmoniSR
  - Galerie 17–18

**Wednesday, 7 October**
- **10:30–11:00 (morning break) & 15:30–16:00 (afternoon break)**
  - Poster session #2: Information retrieval
  - Poster, exhibition & networking space

**Wednesday, 7 October**
- **14:00–15:30**
  - Workshop W89: Practical tips for peer reviewing diagnostic test accuracy review searches
  - Galerie 7–8

## Diagnostic test accuracy

**Sunday, 4 October**
- **11:00–12:30**
  - Workshop W34: Navigating the clinical study report (CSR): A road map to the data abstraction of CSRs for systematic reviews
  - Galerie 11–12

**Sunday, 4 October**
- **16:00–17:30**
  - Workshop W38: Searching for diagnostic test accuracy (DTA) studies
  - Galerie 1–2

**Monday, 5 October**
- **16:00–17:30**
  - Workshop W46: Diagnostic test accuracy reviews: Advanced meta-analysis: dealing with heterogeneity and test comparisons
  - Galerie 3–4

**Wednesday, 7 October**
- **13:00–14:00**
  - Lunch rapid oral session 11: Diagnostic test accuracy reviews
  - Schubert 2–3

**Wednesday, 7 October**
- **14:00–15:30**
  - Workshop W90: Preparing “Summary of Findings” tables and grading confidence in estimates of test accuracy in DTA reviews
  - Galerie 19–20
### Innovative solutions for filtering the information overload

**Sunday, 4 October**
14:00–15:30
Rapid oral session 10: Filtering the information overload for better decisions
Schubert 5–6

**Sunday, 4 October**
16:00–17:30
Workshop W20: Which knowledge synthesis method can be used to answer research questions of complex evidence?
Business Suite 1–2

**Monday, 5 October**
14:00–15:30
Workshop W27: New pathways for people to produce Cochrane evidence: Getting Involved and Task Exchange
Galerie 3–4

**Monday, 5 October**
16:00–17:30
Workshop W45: Cochrane Updating Classification System (UCS): helping readers and editors understand the status of reviews
Galerie 5–6

**Wednesday, 7 October**
11:00–12:30
Oral session 10: Filtering the information overload for better decisions
Lehar 1

**Wednesday, 7 October**
14:00–15:30
Workshop W80: Cochrane Clinical Answers: facilitating the use of Cochrane Reviews within the clinical decision-making pathway
Galerie 17–18

### Health economics

**Sunday, 4 October**
16:00–17:30
Workshop W7: How to include economics in Cochrane review protocols 1: background, objectives, outcome measures and types of studies
Business Suite 3–4

**Wednesday, 7 October**
11:00–12:30
Workshop W16: Test evaluation and decision-making – what information is needed?
Strauss 1

**Monday, 5 October**
13:00–14:00
Lunch rapid oral session 6: Health Economics
Schubert 4

**Monday, 5 October**
14:00–15:30
Workshop W29: How to include economics in Cochrane review protocols 2: searches, risk of bias and methodological quality, data collection and analysis
Business Suite 3–4

**Tuesday, 6 October**
11:00–12:30
Workshop W67: How to develop brief economic commentaries for Cochrane intervention reviews
Business Suite 3–4

### Overviews of reviews & rapid reviews

**Sunday, 4 October**
11:00–12:30
Rapid oral session 3: Advanced methods: Overviews of reviews and network meta-analyses
Schubert 2–3

**Sunday, 4 October**
16:00–17:30
Workshop W70: Introduction to ROBIS, a new tool to assess the risk of bias in a systematic review
Galerie 7–8

**Wednesday, 7 October**
11:00–12:30
Oral session 11: Rapid reviews and overviews of reviews
Lehar 2

**Wednesday, 7 October**
10:30–11:00 (morning break) & 15:30–16:00 (afternoon break)
Poster session #2: Rapid reviews / Overviews of reviews
P2-062–P2-075
Poster, exhibition & networking space, ground floor

**Wednesday, 7 October**
14:00–15:30
Workshop W85: Cochrane Clinical Answers: facilitating the use of Cochrane Reviews within the clinical decision-making pathway
Galerie 17–18

**Tuesday, 6 October**
11:00–12:30
Workshop W70: Introduction to ROBIS, a new tool to assess the risk of bias in a systematic review
Galerie 7–8

**Wednesday, 7 October**
10:30–11:00 (morning break) & 15:30–16:00 (afternoon break)
Poster session #2: Rapid reviews / Overviews of reviews
P2-062–P2-075
Poster, exhibition & networking space, ground floor

**Wednesday, 7 October**
14:00–15:30
Special Session 17: Rapid reviews
Strauss 2–3

**Tuesday, 6 October**
11:00–12:30
Workshop W20: Which knowledge synthesis method can be used to answer research questions of complex evidence?
Business Suite 1–2
Vienna’s dark past

Thirty years after his rejection from the prominent Art Academy in Vienna, Adolf Hitler stood on the balcony overlooking cheering crowds at Vienna’s Heldenplatz and declared, “As leader and chancellor of the German nation and Reich, I announce to German history now the entry of my homeland into the German Reich.” Just eight months later during the November pogroms, 6,547 Jews in Vienna were arrested, thousands of Jewish-owned shops plundered, and 42 synagogues and houses of prayer were set aflame. As Hitler’s master plan progressed, the arrests and atrocities against his declared enemies of state (Jewish citizens, social democrats, gays and lesbians, Roma and Sinti, communists, Jehovah Witnesses, and those considered “socially deviant”) escalated, and those who could not escape were sent off to concentration camps.

At the same time, the Allied Forces fighting Hitler were drawing up the blueprints for a post-war Europe. At a meeting in Moscow in 1943, they determined that Austria should be re-established as an independent state and that Austria was the “first victim of Hitlerite aggression.” Whether or not the Austrians of that time were victims or perpetrators is a question that still continues to haunt the city to this day.

Throughout the city you can still find evidence of both—from brass plaques detailing the names and dire fates of residents who lived in apartments taken over by the Nazis to the “O5” symbol inscribed on the wall of St. Stephan’s Cathedral, showing the mark of the Austrian resistance movement. At Judenplatz in Vienna’s first district, you will find a Holocaust Memorial for the 65,000 Austrian Jews who were murdered during WWII, and at Morzinplatz, a memorial dedicated to the opponents to the Nazi regime who were tortured and killed at the Hotel Metropol that once stood there and served as the headquarters of the Gestapo.

Text by KC Blau, Vienna expat blogger and fiction writer (www.kcblau.com)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monday, 5 October 2015</th>
<th>Morning Break at 10:30–11:00 &amp; Afternoon Break at 15:30–16:00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Attended Poster Session #1

#### Education and training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Presenter(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1-015</td>
<td>Experience of capacity building for evidence-based child health</td>
<td>Jasleen Kaur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-016</td>
<td>Iranian biomedical researchers and their contribution to developing systematic reviews</td>
<td>Bita Mesgar Pour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-017</td>
<td>Two years’ experience for training appraisers of guidelines using an AGREE II scoring guide in Korea</td>
<td>Ein-Soon Shin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-019</td>
<td>Development of the first Academic League of Evidence-based Medicine in Brazil. A partnership between the Brazilian Cochrane Centre and Universidade Federal de São Paulo</td>
<td>Julia Daou</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-020</td>
<td>Development and evaluation of doktormitSDM, an approach to improving SDM in daily clinical practice – a continuum of increasing evidence</td>
<td>Jürgen Kasper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-022</td>
<td>Multi-professional teaching of health research methodology in undergraduate programs. The case of Universidad de La Frontera in Chile</td>
<td>Pamela Seron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-023</td>
<td>Effect of evidence-based medicine courses on informatics competencies of medical college students</td>
<td>Qin Wenzhe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-024</td>
<td>Providing time out through dedicated fellowships: strategy to improve Cochrane author support towards review completion</td>
<td>Solange Durao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-025</td>
<td>Curriculum reform at Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile School of Medicine: an opportunity to review the teaching of evidence-based medicine</td>
<td>Gabriel Rada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-026</td>
<td>Informing Cochrane learning strategies: effectiveness of e-learning strategies to increase evidence-based health care (EBHC) competencies</td>
<td>Taryn Young</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-027</td>
<td>Are Polish physicians familiar with the Cochrane Collaboration and the Cochrane Library?</td>
<td>Wiktoria Lesniak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-029</td>
<td>Increasing utilization of online databases by evidence-based medicine curricula among medical students</td>
<td>Ya-Wen Chiu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Systematic review methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Presenter(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1-031</td>
<td>Progress of PROSPERO registering systematic reviews</td>
<td>Agustín Ciapponi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-032</td>
<td>The Embase project 1: crowd characteristics and behaviour after 18 months</td>
<td>Anna Noel-Storr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-033</td>
<td>Experiences with Covidence in preparing a comprehensive systematic review</td>
<td>Myro samara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-035</td>
<td>Updating systematic reviews published in Chinese: a cross-sectional study</td>
<td>Dang Wei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-036</td>
<td>It’s the noise that makes the poison: why current methods for assessing chemicals for toxicity provides so little evidence?</td>
<td>Daniele Mandrioli</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-039</td>
<td>Development of an algorithm for choosing study designs</td>
<td>Frank Peinemann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-040</td>
<td>Importance of author inquiries</td>
<td>Frank Peinemann</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-041</td>
<td>The importance of registration for systematic reviews/meta-analysis about traditional Chinese medicine in Chinese</td>
<td>Jiaying Wang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-042</td>
<td>Does applicability make the difference? Two systematic reviews on the same topic</td>
<td>Konstanze Angelescu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-043</td>
<td>Exploring inconsistencies between observational and experimental studies of selenium and diabetes risk</td>
<td>Marco Vinceti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-045</td>
<td>Project on a Framework for Rating Evidence in Public Health (PRECEPT): structure of a draft framework</td>
<td>Thomas Harder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-046</td>
<td>The unique challenges of systematic reviews and meta-analysis of cluster-randomized trials</td>
<td>Yaolong Chen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attended Poster Session #1

Quality of reporting

P1-047 A checklist approach to evaluation of scientific study protocols approval removes bottleneck and improves methodological quality: a randomized controlled trial
Ambuj Kumar

P1-048 Over 56,000 participants' data ‘wasted’: an example from randomized controlled trials of medical interventions for open-angle glaucoma
Andrew Law

P1-049 Quality of published randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in Thai healthcare journals
Anuwat Pengput

P1-050 Reporting of interventions: a comparative case study before and after the publication of the extended CONSORT guidelines for reporting non-pharmacological trials
Bridget Candy

P1-051 Misleading reporting in major fertility journals: poor use of confidence intervals and absolute differences
Demian Glujovsky

P1-052 Critical reporting elements for publications of research and systematic reviews of complex interventions
Mark Helfand

P1-053 Developing a Preferred Reporting Items for Development of Evidence based Clinical Practice Guideline in Traditional Medicine (PRIDE-CPG-TM)
Jae Choi

P1-055 How many reports you can trust? A cross-sectional study to characterize the quality of research reporting
Mingming Zhang

P1-056 Comparison of the attention paid to reporting guidelines and trial registration in nursing journals in the last three years
Tsai-Wei Huang

P1-057 The information need in systematic review and meta-analysis about acupuncture
Kehu Yang

P1-058 How many journals adopt reporting guidance for RCTs, systematic reviews and Clinical Practice Guidelines in ‘Instructions for authors’? A cross-section survey from 150 medical journals
Qi Wang

Meta-analysis methods

P1-062 The Peto odds ratio viewed as a new effect measure: derivation and application
Anne Catharina Brockhaus

P1-063 Who should benefit from screening? Are we using the right denominator?
Anne Rummer

P1-064 Assessment of use and scope for use of IPD in a random sample of 100 reviews from the Cochrane Pregnancy and Childbirth group
Catrin Tudur Smith

P1-065 Treatment benefit cannot be excluded on the basis of mean difference alone
Christopher Cates

P1-066 Different mortality time-points in critical care trials: current practice and influence on effect estimates
Dominik Roth

P1-067 Results in systematic reviews of anaesthesia interventions that are reported as statistically significant often contain risk of type I error greater than 5%
Georgina Imberger

P1-068 False positive findings in cumulative meta-analysis with and without application of trial sequential analysis
Georgina Imberger

P1-069 Proving clinical relevance of effects in meta-analyses of binary data
Guido Skipka

P1-070 From meta-analysis to practical decision using virtual realistic population simulation: an illustration on sudden death prevention in type 2 diabetes
François Gueyffier
Monday, 5 October 2015  
Morning Break at 10:30–11:00 & Afternoon Break at 15:30–16:00

Attended Poster Session #1

Meta-analysis methods

P1-072  A network meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials of interventions for the treatment of rotator cuff tears  
Joel Gagnier

P1-073  Exploring the efficacy effectiveness gap  
Markus Koesters

P1-074  Application of Bayesian methods in public health systematic reviews  
Melissa Glenda Lewis

P1-075  An empirical study investigating the extent of heterogeneity, inconsistency and potential limitations in networks of interventions published in 2013  
Anna Chaimani

P1-076  metaepi: an R package for conducting meta-epidemiologic studies  
Paolo Eusebi

P1-077  Similarity of the treatment benefit between children and adults: results of a meta-epidemiological study  
Perrine Janiaud

P1-078  Similarity of the benefit risk ratio between children and adults: results of a meta-epidemiological study  
Perrine Janiaud

P1-080  The waste of research in the addiction field: an example from a review on psychological intervention for psychostimulant-use disorders  
Silvia Minozzi

P1-081  Methods for individual participant data meta-analysis of relative treatment effects: a methodologic overview  
Thomas Debray

P1-082  Acupuncture therapy for diabetic peripheral neuropathy: a network meta-analysis and methodology research  
Mei Han

P1-083  Network meta-analyses in clinical practice guidelines: a cross-sectional survey  
Qi Wang

P1-086  Cumulative meta-analysis of the efficacy of acupuncture on conventional therapy-induced side effects in oncology patients  
Yuanqing Pan

Trial registration

P1-087  Practical and conceptual issues of trial registration  
Caroline Freitas

P1-088  An audit of the data structures of four international clinical trial registries  
Lisa Askie

P1-089  Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials Registry (ANZCTR) user survey results from 2009 and 2015  
Kylie Hunter

P1-090  Is it necessary to search trials registers?  
Mala Mann

P1-091  The World Health Organization’s International Clinical Trials Registry Platform: a ‘one-stop shop’ for identifying clinical trials conducted worldwide?  
Nadia Corp

P1-092  The German Clinical Trials Register (DRKS) and its data quality compared to other trial registries  
Susanne Jena

P1-093  Current malaria clinical trials activity on the Pan African Clinical Trials Registry and comparison to a historical cohort  
Vittoria Lutje

P1-094  Does registration will help systematic reviews to be published in journals indexed by Web of Science? A current investigation based on the PROSPERO platform  
Yonggang Zhang
Outcomes / Safety and adverse events

P1-095 Endoscopic and open release similarly safe for the treatment of carpal tunnel syndrome. A systematic review and meta-analysis
Adriani Nikolakopoulou

P1-096 Scope and consistency of outcomes reported in randomised trials included in Cochrane systematic reviews of haemodialysis
Allison Tong

P1-097 Quality assessment of oral health-related quality-of-life questionnaires in children and adolescents
Carlos Zaror

P1-098 Inconsistent evaluation of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia in Cochrane systematic reviews: are harm-benefit balance truly being appraised?
Daniela Junqueira

P1-099 Estimating the occurrence of non-standardised adverse outcomes: the case of lipodystrophy
Daniela Junqueira

P1-100 Reporting health related quality of life in critical care RCTs
Dominik Roth

P1-101 Psychometric evidence for outcome measures used in patients undergoing total hip arthroplasty: a systematic review
Joel Gagnier

P1-102 Psychometric evidence for outcome measures used in patients undergoing total knee arthroplasty: a systematic review
Joel Gagnier

P1-103 The higher quality, the more convincing: a cross-sectional study of randomized controlled human trials on drug safety
Mingming Zhang

P1-104 When you conduct a systematic review about drug safety, you will run into these issues
Mingming Zhang

P1-105 Can assessors in a trial of psychotherapy be successfully blinded?
Norio Watanabe

P1-107 Difficulty in combining results of primary studies in the addiction field: need to enhance consistency of reported outcomes
Silvia Minozzi
Monday, 5 October 2015  Morning Break at 10:30–11:00 & Afternoon Break at 15:30–16:00

**Attended Poster Session #1**

**Consumer issues and shared decision making**

P1-118  Cochrane initiatives in Nepal: reflections on progress in improving evidence-informed decision-making
Krishna Aryal

P1-120  Clinical decision support system: an effective pathway to promote shared decision making between clinician and patient
Pengli Jia

P1-121  Patient participation in health care in China: what ways promote patient participation and engagement?
Pengli Jia

P1-122  Patient safety education: a cross-sectional survey of knowledge, skill and attitude of medical students on patient safety in China
Pengli Jia

P1-123  Uptake of systematic reviews and meta-analyses in health policy briefs: an analysis of references
Qi Wang

P1-126  Development of clinical research support system for conditional coverage with evidence development
Je Eun Yun

P1-127  Is evidence-based medicine incorporated into the analysis of European health systems?
Tamás Decsi

P1-128  From evidence to recommendation: Cochrane-based evidence summaries as sources of recommendations in point-of-care guideline
Heidi Alenius

P1-129  Access of evidence-based online databases among main and allied health professionals: a nationwide survey in Taiwan
Ya-Wen Chiu

P1-130  Filtering the information overload from systematic reviews with 'Summary of Findings' tables
Aislinn Conway

P1-131  Moving from research to practice: training students in translating and communicating evidence
Daniela Junqueira

P1-133  Treatment guidelines in specialist mental healthcare: how important are they and how to translate them into practice?
Ines Fiedler

P1-134  Bunches of evidence: displaying 'Summary of Findings' tables visually as ‘evidence flowers’
Jo Jordan

P1-135  Advocating for Cochrane evidence: packaging Cochrane HIV/AIDS summaries
Joy Oliver

P1-136  Setting of an observatory of clinical trial transition regarding data sharing – IMPACT (IMProving Access to Clinical Trials data) Observatory
Karmela Krleza-Jeric

P1-138  Computerized decision support system: an effective pathway to filter information overloads to improve clinical decisions
Pengli Jia

P1-139  Supporting physicians in decision making – a Polish experience
Rafał R. Jaeschke

P1-141  Physicians’ knowledge of evidence-based diagnostic and therapeutic approaches for ischemic stroke in the Brazilian Amazon region
Regis Bruni Andriolo
Attended Poster Session #1

**Knowledge translation and communicating evidence**

**P1-142** Physicians’ knowledge of sepsis in the Brazilian Amazon Region. They urgently need continuous, but short and objective evidence-based educational interventions  
Regis Bruni Andriolo

**P1-143** Social network analysis of the Cochrane Facebook Group  
Richard Kirubakaran

**P1-145** Swiss family physicians’ perception and attitude towards knowledge translation  
Theresa Bengough

**P1-146** Information retrieval for evidence-based practice using the Automated Community Health Information System  
Woo-Hyun Hong

**P1-147** The development of health policy briefs based on systematic reviews and meta-analysis in China  
Yao-long Chen

**P1-148** Building actionable knowledge from referential knowledge for evidence-based nursing practice  
Young Sung Lee

**P1-150** Comparative evaluation of information products regarding cancer screening from German-speaking cancer organizations  
Christina Klein

---

**Translations**

**P2-001** Translation of the ADAPTE process: an important step to improve evidence communication in Brazil  
Airton Stein

**P2-002** Translation of plain language summaries, does it help in better understanding?  
Harishom Katherasapandian

**P2-003** Human-translated abstracts for better health decision making  
Patricia Logullo

**P2-004** “Health in your language” by the Evidence-Based Medicine Unit to provide the best healthcare evidence for the community of Portuguese-speaking countries  
Regina El Dib

---

**Primary studies**

**P2-005** Methodological quality of clinical trials evaluated in early benefit assessment: orphan versus non-orphan drugs  
Daniela Preukschat

**P2-006** Fewer randomized controlled trials in fertility and gynecology field: why is this happening?  
Demian Glujovsky

**P2-007** Differences in the characteristics of exclusions in randomised trials based on the type of the intention-to-treat reporting  
Francesco Cozzolino

**P2-008** Effect sizes reported in randomized and non-randomized controlled trials  
Frank Peinemann

**P2-011** Title and abstract screening assessment in primary studies: based on Korean clinical trials  
Ju Ah Lee

**P2-012** Adult ADHD trials with methylphenidate have severely restricted study populations and should be revisited  
Kim Boesen

Marcin Waligora

**P2-014** Incidence of randomised trials with modified intention-to-treat analysis in the medical literature: a systematic review update  
Massimiliano Orso

**P2-015** How many adolescents are actually included in randomised trials primarily in adults with asthma? An analysis of recent trial reports  
Olivia-Beth Annal
Wednesday, 7 October 2015  Morning Break at 10:30–11:00 & Afternoon Break at 15:30–16:00

Attended Poster Session #2

Research landscape

P2-016  Global burden of mental and behavioral disorders as reflected in the Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews: an introduction
Alexis Carrington-Ford

P2-017  Information overload: measuring prevalence of obesity and diabetes mellitus in the Portuguese central health region
Andreia Cabete Portulez

P2-018  Number of systematic reviews published in PubMed in the last 15 years
Arnaldo Silva

P2-019  Filtering by focusing – the re-scoping process for BMJ Clinical Evidence
Klara Brunnhuber

P2-020  What next for Cochrane in systematic reviews of prognosis?
Elaine Beller

P2-021  Potential factors for influencing fulfilling of Cochrane reviews related to Chinese medicine
Huijuan Cao

P2-022  Oral health research priorities on the Cochrane Library
Jan Clarkson

P2-023  Research activities of Evidence-Based Medicine (EBM) in China: a survey of the accepted abstracts of the Eighth Asia-Pacific Conference on EBM
Liang Du

P2-024  Betaistine for vertigo: a patient-professional partnership in action
Louisa Murdin

P2-025  Is quality and completeness of reporting of systematic reviews and meta-analyses published in high impact radiology journals associated with citation rates?
Matthew McInnes

P2-026  Research capacity building for Chinese medical practitioners in China: a questionnaire survey
Mei Han

P2-027  Developing and applying priority-setting criteria for complex interventions across diseases
Molly O’Sullivan

P2-028  Accurate diagnosis of latent tuberculosis in children, immunocompromised, and recently arrived people from high tuberculosis incidence countries: a systematic review
Alexander Tsatsosvadze

P2-029  Informing diagnostic recommendations by test accuracy – the case of POS
Anne Rummer

P2-030  GRADE Working Group recommendations for evaluation accuracy of the water-swallow test for dysphagia in cases with stroke
Po-Cheng Chen

P2-031  Quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies after a modified version of QUADAS-2 in ophthalmological research
Christine Schmucker

P2-032  Journal and conference abstracts of diagnostic accuracy studies: sufficiently informative?
Daniël Korevaar

P2-033  The Psychometric Assessment Project: an evaluation of psychometric measures used within Norwegian mental health services
Johan Siqveland

P2-034  Individual patient data meta-analysis for diagnostic test accuracy studies: a review of methods used in practice
Junfeng Wang

P2-035  Discrepancies in ‘evidence-based’ practice guidelines for diagnostic lumbar discography
Kurt Hegmann

P2-036  IgM ELISA for leptospirosis diagnosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis
Maria Ines Rosa
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Attended Poster Session #2

Diagnostic test accuracy reviews

P2-031  Accurate diagnosis of latent tuberculosis in children, immunocompromised, and recently arrived people from high tuberculosis incidence countries: a systematic review
Alexander Tsatsosvadze

P2-032  Informing diagnostic recommendations by test accuracy – the case of POS
Anne Rummer

P2-033  GRADE Working Group recommendations for evaluation accuracy of the water-swallow test for dysphagia in cases with stroke
Po-Cheng Chen

P2-034  Quality assessment of diagnostic accuracy studies after a modified version of QUADAS-2 in ophthalmological research
Christine Schmucker

P2-035  Journal and conference abstracts of diagnostic accuracy studies: sufficiently informative?
Daniël Korevaar

P2-036  The Psychometric Assessment Project: an evaluation of psychometric measures used within Norwegian mental health services
Johan Siqveland

P2-037  Individual patient data meta-analysis for diagnostic test accuracy studies: a review of methods used in practice
Junfeng Wang

P2-038  Discrepancies in ‘evidence-based’ practice guidelines for diagnostic lumbar discography
Kurt Hegmann

P2-039  IgM ELISA for leptospirosis diagnosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis
Maria Ines Rosa
**Wednesday, 7 October 2015**

**Morning Break at 10:30–11:00 & Afternoon Break at 15:30–16:00**

**Attended Poster Session #2**

### Editorial processes and supporting review authors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P2-041</td>
<td>Does editorial office data extraction support mean a better experience for review authors?</td>
<td>Olwen Beaven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-042</td>
<td>The journey from prioritised reviews to publication (or not!)</td>
<td>Emma Welsh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-043</td>
<td>Transitions: Cochrane PDU C to Cochrane Urology and expanding to 12 TSCs</td>
<td>Gretchen Kuntz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-044</td>
<td>A model for streamlining Cochrane Review production: year one of an NIHR programme grant</td>
<td>Kayleigh Kew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-045</td>
<td>Publication of Reviews Synthesizing Child Health Evidence (PORSCHIE): a survey of authors to determine factors associated with publication venue</td>
<td>Lisa Hartling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-046</td>
<td>Impact of National Institute for Health Research Cochrane Incentive Scheme on the time to deliver Cochrane Reviews</td>
<td>Sally Bailey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Guideline development / GRADE**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Author(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P2-047</td>
<td>GRADE: Grading quality of evidence about scales used for measuring factors in medicine</td>
<td>Carlos Fernando Grillo-Ardila</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-048</td>
<td>Developing a WHO Rapid Advice Guideline (RAG) in the setting of a public health emergency</td>
<td>Chantelle Garritty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-050</td>
<td>A pilot study on the system and execution model for health technology reassessment</td>
<td>Dong Ah Park</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-053</td>
<td>Assessment of non-pharmacological interventions to manage geriatric conditions using GRADE</td>
<td>Iosief Abraha</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-054</td>
<td>Clinical Practice Guidelines for traditional Korean medicine (TKM) for facial palsy: an evidence-based approach</td>
<td>Ju Ah Lee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-055</td>
<td>A pilot survey on the status of the local guidelines for healthcare workers at the Sichuan Tian Fu New Area, China</td>
<td>Maoling Wei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-056</td>
<td>Current grading system using in clinical practice guideline recommendations developed by adaptation method</td>
<td>Miyoung Choi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-057</td>
<td>Mailuoning injection for the treatment of stroke: integration with the GRADE system</td>
<td>Pengwei Ren</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-058</td>
<td>How to explain statistical heterogeneity of strategies to improve guideline adherence?</td>
<td>Susanne Unverzagt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-059</td>
<td>RICE or ice: what does the evidence say? The evidence base for first aid treatment of sprains and strains</td>
<td>Vere Borra</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-060</td>
<td>The use of GRADE in systematic reviews of observational studies</td>
<td>Kehu Yang</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Rapid reviews / Overviews of systematic reviews

P2-062  Effectiveness of acupuncture across indications: overview of Cochrane Systematic Reviews
Brigitte Piso

P2-063  Moving from traditional to ‘streamlined’ systematic reviews in the Drug Effectiveness Review Project: assessment of impact on report usability
Brittany Holzhammer

P2-064  Summary of clinical evidence for cupping therapy: an overview of systematic reviews
Zhaolan Liu

P2-065  Using the AMSTAR checklist for rapid reviews – does it fit?
Jessica Tajana Mattivi

P2-066  Rapid reviews can increase use of evidence by health system decision-makers with fast-paced needs: the VA ESP experience
Kim Peterson

P2-067  Retention policies for health workers in rural and remote areas: an overview of reviews
Kehu Yang

P2-068  Developing a taxonomy for rapid review products and their methodological approaches
Lisa Hartling

P2-069  Methodological quality of systematic reviews in public health policy: one case of human resources
Liying Jia

P2-070  Is conducting a rapid review a method to filter the information overload?
Mala Mann

P2-071  An umbrella review of randomized evidence in the field of solid organ transplantation
Maria Christou

P2-072  How does low quality evidence shape state government health policy? A case study of hepatitis C treatment
Martha Gerrity

P2-073  How do authors of Cochrane Overviews deal with conflicts of interest relating to their own systematic reviews?
Roland Brian Büchter

P2-074  Arthroplasty registries as a source of information for decision making: a comprehensive overview
Airton Stein

P2-075  Rapid reviews: appropriateness and applicability
Valerie King
Results of individual systematic reviews

P2-088 A meta-study on teacher professional development activities
Marieke Thurlings

P2-089 Conditional cash transfers and the ethical dimension
Marit Johansen

P2-090 Interventions with multiple components of risk assessment, education, reminders and feedback improve osteoporosis disease management: a systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs
Monika Kastner

P2-091 Low-dose versus high-dose stavudine for treating people with HIV infection
Nombulelo Magula

P2-092 Type-1-diabetes: the problems associated with comparing Integrated Sensor-Augmented Pump Therapy systems for managing blood glucose levels with multiple daily injections
Rob Riemsma

P2-093 Colorectal cancer occurrence in Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis
Roya Dolatkhah

P2-094 The effects of cognitive behavioral therapy on attention deficit hyperactivity disorder among school-aged children in Korea: a meta-analysis
Sung-Dong Hwang

P2-098 The strength of recommendation is weak for use of ShenXiong glucose injection in patients with AIS
Xueting Liu

P2-099 Application of GRADE 2: Making evidence-based recommendations about acupuncture for stroke rehabilitation
Xueting Liu

Involvement of users and stakeholders

P2-100 A new taxonomy of stroke physical rehabilitation to enable clinically relevant evidence synthesis within a Cochrane Systematic Review
Alex Pollock

P2-101 GENERATE: Setting the agenda for cross-collaborative, high value research in ENT hearing and balance
Anne Schilder

P2-102 Engaging stakeholders to identify evidence synthesis priorities for National Health Insurance Schemes: lessons learned from Uganda
Ekwako OBUKU

P2-103 Building national implementation strategies for chronic diseases guidelines in primary health care setting: results from Korean primary care physicians’ baseline survey
JuHyun Jang

P2-104 Evidence-based educational pathway for the integration of first aid training in school curricula
Vere Borra

P2-105 A triangulated approach for evidence-based practice in vulnerable populations with high blood pressure
Woi-Hyun Hong

P2-106 A time sensitive, multi-disciplinary and collaborative method for systematic reviews enabling decision making in physical rehabilitation
Yves Filion
Wednesday, 7 October 2015
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Information retrieval

P2-107 Nimble data in ALOIS, a study based register: a cross-sectional analysis of dementia trials
Anna Noel-Storr

P2-108 Search and retrieval strategies in the systematic literature search: terminologies and taxonomies in information and library sciences
Anne-Kathrin Merz

P2-109 Evidence mapping for decision making: feasibility versus accuracy – when to abandon high sensitivity in electronic searches
Barbara Buchberger

P2-110 On the use of the Cochrane Register of Studies (CRS) software for handsearch: experience of the Brazilian Cochrane Centre (BCC)
Carolina Freitas

P2-111 The HarmoniSR Project: harmonising content in the Cochrane Register of Studies
Elizabeth Stovold

P2-112 Handsearching of randomised clinical trials published in Spanish journals in ophthalmology
Xavier Bonfill

P2-113 Finding systematic reviews: can Epistemonikos replace the Cochrane Library?
Gyri Hvai Straumann

P2-114 Evaluating the impact of the national subscription to the Cochrane Library in India
Jobez Paul Barnabas

P2-115 A search strategy to identify studies on the prognosis of work disability
Jan Hoving

P2-116 Evaluation of utility of PubMed ‘Article Types’ filter for literature searching based on methodological quality
Joseph L Mathew

P2-117 Does focusing Embase subject headings improve search strategy efficiency?
Julie Glanville

P2-118 Finding systematic reviews on pain: building the KSR Pain Evidence database
Kate Misso

P2-119 Biomedical databases: selection and searching for trials to conduct systematic reviews for Chinese reviewers
Mingming Zhang

P2-120 Is a search in trials registers sufficient to identify all relevant randomized controlled trials of a new drug?
Marco Knelangen

P2-121 Developing optimal search strategies for retrieval of clinical trials in LILACS database
Maria Anália Conceição

P2-122 What information resources are searched to prepare systematic reviews of economic evaluations?
Julie Glanville

P2-123 Sensitivity of a search filter designed to identify studies reporting health state utility values
Julie Glanville

Investigating bias

P2-124 Handling missing participant data for dichotomous outcomes in randomized controlled trials: systematic survey of the methods literature
Elie Akl

P2-125 Prevalence and factors related to positive results of acupuncture randomized trials published in English
Xun Li

P2-126 Level of risk of bias and size of treatment effect estimates: a study in dentistry
Clovis Faggion

P2-127 Unpublished trials in molluscum contagiosum: a case study in publication bias
Hans Van der Wouden

P2-129 Awareness and perception of dissemination bias in qualitative research: an exploratory study
Ingrid Toews
Attended Poster Session #2

Investigating bias

P2-131 Accessing clinical study reports: potential to reduce bias in systematic reviews
Jonathan Beaumier

P2-132 A survey of systematic reviews to identify items for assessing risk of bias in individual studies addressing values and preferences
Pablo Alonso-Coello

P2-134 What dissemination bias really means and how we can tackle it
Katharina Mueller

P2-135 Comparison of Newcastle Ottawa scale (NOS) and Agency for Health Research and Quality (AHRQ) as risk of bias assessment tools for cohort studies
Sukhmanjeet Singh

P2-136 Quality assessment of included studies in systematic reviews/meta-analysis based on individual patient data: a cross-sectional analysis
Qi Wang

P2-137 Publication bias in the field of subfertility
Sarah Lensen

P2-138 What is the influence of randomization sequence generation and allocation concealment on treatment effects of physical therapy trials? A meta-epidemiological study
Susan Armijo-Olivo

P2-139 Inconsistency in risk of bias assessments performed by tools used in physical therapy versus Cochrane ‘Risk of bias’ domain approach
Susan Armijo-Olivo

P2-140 Contribution of industry-sponsored opioid trials to the development of evidence based practice guidelines
Ulrike Ott

P2-142 Handling trial participants with missing outcome data for continuous outcomes in randomized controlled trials: systematic survey of the methods literature
Yuqing Zhang

Vienna – Not just a Great Place to Visit, a Great Place to Live too

Austrian composer Gustav Mahler once said that when the world ends, he’ll move to Vienna because everything there happens 50 years later. Today, however, Vienna’s ability to keep up with the pulse of the times with Life Balls, pride parades, city rock festivals, guerrilla gardening, parkour meet-ups, and more, make it not only a great place to visit, but also to live.

You may wonder, however, why the global consultancy company, Mercer, has ranked the city number one for six consecutive years. Well, have a look at some stats used to compare countries on If It Were My Home (www.ifitweremyhome.com) to get an idea. In Austria, I can enjoy 10.2% more free time, spend 39.2% less on healthcare, and live a whopping 0.6 years longer than I would back home in the US. On the downside, I’ll probably earn 19.3% less money. But with free public universities, universal healthcare, and a public transportation system that charges just 1€/day for annual tickets, who needs more money? With acres of parks, open-air cinemas, and free public lectures, there is plenty you can do here on a small budget. Of course, if money’s not an issue, you can also indulge your art, culture, and culinary desires. And when you just need a break from it all, Vienna makes that easy too.

The city’s location in the heart of central Europe and superior rail and air access make quick holidays abroad relatively easy and inexpensive. Bratislava? 1.5 hours by hydrofoil. Budapest? Less than three hours by train. Venice? Less than 6 hours by car. London? Less than 2.5 hours by plane. You get the picture.

Since the end of WWII, Vienna’s official geopolitical stance as the capital of a neutral country in the heart of Europe has made the city the ideal location for international organizations such as OPEC, the OSCE, and the United Nations. This in turn has made Vienna a hub for espionage. Nowadays, however, Vienna is not just for 007’s eyes only. Students, international companies, and folks from every corner of the world are moving in. Gustav Mahler may have wanted to wait for the end of the world to move to Vienna, but everyone else is coming long before then.

Text by KC Blau, Vienna expat blogger and fiction writer (www.kcblau.com)
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General information

Colloquium App
All the information regarding the program will be available on our Colloquium App, sponsored by RTI-International. You can download the App from the App Store or Google Play or use the QR code for download.

The Colloquium App has a variety of useful functions. The App will be up to date with any changes to the schedule, including room and time changes. In addition, you will be able to find all abstracts linked to the oral and poster presentations and workshops in the App. Evaluations of oral sessions and workshops will also be carried out directly within the App. What’s more, the App will have a networking function – you can easily send messages to anyone who downloaded the App and make appointments for spontaneous meetings. Need reminders? The Colloquium App has you covered! You can choose to get notifications and updates of your personal schedule within the App.

Wireless Internet
Wireless Internet will be available free of charge at the Congress Center, thanks to our sponsor, Wiley. Username: ColloquiumVienna Password: WiFibyWILEY

The City of Vienna provides several free wireless hotspots. Many coffeehouses also offer free wireless Internet. You can check for wireless Internet in Vienna here: www.wlanmap.com

Twitter
#CochraneVienna

Networking Spaces
The poster, networking, and exhibition space on the ground floor directly after the entrance is equipped with tables and chairs that are available for anyone who wants to sit and discuss collaborations, projects, or plan what to do and see in Vienna. Additional tables are available next to Lehar 4, where the Meet the Groups Posters are situated. Feel free to use this space! This meeting and networking space will be available on demand during the Colloquium from 07:00 am to 19:00 pm. Posters and exhibition booths are also located in this area.

Cochrane Library Access
During the Colloquium, Wiley-Blackwell offers free access to the Cochrane Library in all venue locations.

Breakfast
Please be aware that breakfast is not provided at the Colloquium. Please make other arrangements such as buying breakfast foods or arranging breakfast with your hotel. The first refreshments will be served at 10:30. Coffee will be served for those having early morning meetings (07:30–08:45).

There is a McDonald’s in the near vicinity of the Messe, where you can buy coffee and breakfast.

Registration / Information
Please check in at the registration desk when you arrive at the Colloquium Venue (Reed Messe). The Registration Desk is located directly at the entrance of the Messe on the ground floor and will be staffed from 07:00 to 17:00 from Saturday, 3 October to Wednesday, 7 October. On-site registration will not be possible.

Conference Organizers
Will be recognizable by their name badge and a Cochrane cyan-blue scarf. The local organizing Committee Office is located on the top floor near the Business Suites. Volunteers will also be recognizable by their T-shirts and name badges and can help you with any query.

Name Badges
Identification badges are required for admission to all sessions and meals. Please wear your badge at all times. Social event tickets that are included in your conference bag must be presented to gain entry to each respective event.

Lost and Found
Please check with the registration desk regarding any lost or found items.

Message Board
Is located directly at the entrance next to the registration desk. You may also post messages for other attendees on these boards or use the Colloquium App to get in contact with other delegates.

Ticket Exchange for Gala Dinner, Austrian Food – Schnitzel Evening, and Waltz Lessons
Is located at the registration desk. Anyone who does not want or need his/her ticket to any of the social events can hand it in here, and we will give it to a person on the waiting list for the event.

Cochrane Library Access
During the Colloquium, Wiley-Blackwell offers free access to the Cochrane Library in all venue locations.

Breakfast
Please be aware that breakfast is not provided at the Colloquium. Please make other arrangements such as buying breakfast foods or arranging breakfast with your hotel. The first refreshments will be served at 10:30. Coffee will be served for those having early morning meetings (07:30–08:45).

There is a McDonald’s in the near vicinity of the Messe, where you can buy coffee and breakfast.

Registration / Information
Please check in at the registration desk when you arrive at the Colloquium Venue (Reed Messe). The Registration Desk is located directly at the entrance of the Messe on the ground floor and will be staffed from 07:00 to 17:00 from Saturday, 3 October to Wednesday, 7 October. On-site registration will not be possible.

Conference Organizers
Will be recognizable by their name badge and a Cochrane cyan-blue scarf. The local organizing Committee Office is located on the top floor near the Business Suites. Volunteers will also be recognizable by their T-shirts and name badges and can help you with any query.

Name Badges
Identification badges are required for admission to all sessions and meals. Please wear your badge at all times. Social event tickets that are included in your conference bag must be presented to gain entry to each respective event.

Lost and Found
Please check with the registration desk regarding any lost or found items.

Message Board
Is located directly at the entrance next to the registration desk. You may also post messages for other attendees on these boards or use the Colloquium App to get in contact with other delegates.

Ticket Exchange for Gala Dinner, Austrian Food – Schnitzel Evening, and Waltz Lessons
Is located at the registration desk. Anyone who does not want or need his/her ticket to any of the social events can hand it in here, and we will give it to a person on the waiting list for the event.

Cochrane Library Access
During the Colloquium, Wiley-Blackwell offers free access to the Cochrane Library in all venue locations.

Breakfast
Please be aware that breakfast is not provided at the Colloquium. Please make other arrangements such as buying breakfast foods or arranging breakfast with your hotel. The first refreshments will be served at 10:30. Coffee will be served for those having early morning meetings (07:30–08:45).

There is a McDonald’s in the near vicinity of the Messe, where you can buy coffee and breakfast.

Registration / Information
Please check in at the registration desk when you arrive at the Colloquium Venue (Reed Messe). The Registration Desk is located directly at the entrance of the Messe on the ground floor and will be staffed from 07:00 to 17:00 from Saturday, 3 October to Wednesday, 7 October. On-site registration will not be possible.

Conference Organizers
Will be recognizable by their name badge and a Cochrane cyan-blue scarf. The local organizing Committee Office is located on the top floor near the Business Suites. Volunteers will also be recognizable by their T-shirts and name badges and can help you with any query.

Name Badges
Identification badges are required for admission to all sessions and meals. Please wear your badge at all times. Social event tickets that are included in your conference bag must be presented to gain entry to each respective event.

Lost and Found
Please check with the registration desk regarding any lost or found items.

Message Board
Is located directly at the entrance next to the registration desk. You may also post messages for other attendees on these boards or use the Colloquium App to get in contact with other delegates.

Ticket Exchange for Gala Dinner, Austrian Food – Schnitzel Evening, and Waltz Lessons
Is located at the registration desk. Anyone who does not want or need his/her ticket to any of the social events can hand it in here, and we will give it to a person on the waiting list for the event.

Cochrane Library Access
During the Colloquium, Wiley-Blackwell offers free access to the Cochrane Library in all venue locations.
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Identification badges are required for admission to all sessions and meals. Please wear your badge at all times. Social event tickets that are included in your conference bag must be presented to gain entry to each respective event.

Lost and Found
Please check with the registration desk regarding any lost or found items.

Message Board
Is located directly at the entrance next to the registration desk. You may also post messages for other attendees on these boards or use the Colloquium App to get in contact with other delegates.
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Oral and Rapid Oral Sessions

Oral and rapid oral presentations will be held simultaneously in 9 rooms on Sunday from 11:00–12:30, and 14:00–15:30 and on Monday and Wednesday from 11:00–12:30. Rapid oral sessions will be held simultaneously in 4 rooms during lunchtime on Sunday, Monday, and Wednesday from 13:00–14:00.

Long oral sessions will consist of 4 talks of 15 minutes each with 5 minutes for questions. Rapid oral sessions will consist of a maximum of 9 talks (or 6 talks during lunchtime) of 4 minutes each with 3 minutes for discussion. Each session will be moderated by 2 chairpersons.

Poster Sessions

There will be two poster sessions of approximately 150 posters each displayed in the poster, networking, and exhibition space on the ground floor. Posters will be grouped thematically, and each will have a unique ID number.

Poster session #1 will take place during the morning break (10:30–11:00) and afternoon break (15:30–16:00) on Monday, 5 October.

Poster session #2 will take place during the morning break (10:30–11:00) and afternoon break (15:30–16:00) on Wednesday, 7 October.

Posters should be in place by 09:00 am at the latest, and preferably on display the day before. Please take your poster down by 19:00.

Wheelchair / Disabled Access

The Messe is completely barrier-free. Disabled restrooms are located on each level of the Messe. The Vienna Town Hall, site of the Gala Dinner as well as the Kursalon, site of the Welcome Reception, are both wheelchair accessible.

Certificate of Attendance

The certificate of attendance will be available at the registration desk. It will be handed out during the Colloquium.

Evaluation forms

Evaluation of all workshops, sessions, and plenaries can be completed through the Colloquium App or online after the Colloquium.
Messe area

Messe floor plan
Vienna

Vienna is Austria's capital city and is famous for its culture and architecture. Vienna in fall is particularly beautiful as the chestnut leaves turn golden and the sunsets invite visitors and residents alike to take evening strolls in one of the many parks or in the historic center of the city.

**Banks, currency & credit cards**

The currency used is the Euro (EUR / €). Banks and exchange bureaus will change money and travelers cheques, as will some hotels. Normal banking hours are Monday to Friday from 08:00 – 18:00 without a midday break. On Saturdays, hours are from 08:00 – noon. Outside of these hours there is a 24/7 on-call service – you will find a note with further details in or outside any pharmacy. For information on night and Sunday service, please call +43 1 406 43 43 ViennaMed Doctors Hotline for visitors (0–24 hrs): +43 1 513 95 95 Night and weekend service dentist: +43 1 512 20 78

**Drinking water**

Vienna is probably the only major city in the world that provides crystal-clear mountain spring tap water for its residents. Since 1873, a 120 kilometer long aqueduct has supplied the city with Alpine spring water. Therefore, Vienna's tap water is clean, safe to drink, and of outstanding quality. Use the water bottle from your conference bag to enjoy the Wiener Wasser.

**Emergency numbers**

Euro-Emergency number (police, fire, ambulance): 112 In case of poisoning: +43 1 406 43 43 ViennaMed Doctors Hotline for visitors (0–24 hrs): +43 1 513 95 95 Night and weekend service dentist: +43 1 512 20 78

**Driving**

Traffic drives on the right side of the road in Austria. To rent a vehicle, you will need to provide a valid driver's license (in English) from your home country if you are a citizen of the European Union or an International Driver's Permit.

**Electricity**

Austria's electrical current is 220–240 volts (US/Canada are 110–120 volts). Electrical sockets (outlets) are one of the two European standard types: type “F” German style Schuko and type “C” Europlug. If your appliance's plug does not match the shape of these sockets, you will need a travel plug adapter. Travel plug adapters simply change the shape of your appliance's plug to match whatever type of socket you need to plug into. Travel plug adapters do not change the voltage, so the electricity coming through the adapter will still be the same 220–240 volts the socket is supplying. North American sockets supply electricity at between 110 and 120 volts, far lower than in most of the rest of the world, and appliances generally only built for 110–120 volts. Please check whether your appliance is compatible with the higher voltage before attempting to plug it into Austrian outlets!

**Personal safety**

Austria is one of the world's safest destinations, but basic safety precautions should be taken at all times. There is a relatively low crime rate, but please take precautions such as keeping valuable items out of sight and locking doors and vehicles. Avoid walking around in unoccupied or poorly lit areas, and pay attention for pickpockets in crowded places, such as public transport or department stores. It is advisable to carry only the cash you will need for each day, just as you would in any major city.

**Public Transport**

Vienna has an efficient and inexpensive public transport system. Underground trains run daily from 05:00am until midnight and all night on Fridays and Saturdays. Buses and trams operate shorter hours; however, a night-bus system is in operation. Bus / Underground / Tram tickets are € 2.30 one-way or € 16.20 for a weekly pass, and can be purchased in any Underground station from automatic machines that operate in English. Visit the homepage of the Vienna public transport system to book your journey: http://www.wienlinien.at

**Payment Services Austria**

Payment Services Austria

**Language**

German is Austria's official language. English is widely spoken in tourist areas.

**Disabled access**

The law requires new buildings to provide access for people with disabilities, but please check when booking. All venues of the Colloquium and the two major social events have wheelchair access. If you are unsure, please visit the registration desk.

**Pharmacies**

Pharmacies are called “Apotheke” in German and are open Monday to Friday, 08:00 – 18:00 without a midday break. On Saturdays, hours are from 08:00 – noon. Outside of these hours there is a 24/7 on-call service – you will find a note with further details in or outside any pharmacy. For in formation on night and Sunday service, please call +43 1 1455.

You can easily spot pharmacies by this sign.

**VAT**

VAT is charged at 10% for foods, and 20% for beverages and most other goods and services.

**Tipping**

Gratuities are not automatically included in the average restaurant bill. The standard tip is 10 percent of the bill. Tipping in service-oriented businesses is up to the person paying, but it is generally expected. In casual outlets such as sausage stands or ice parlors, people will often round up to the next full euro, e.g., if the price is € 2.40, you could round up to € 3.00.

**Weather**

October weather is perfect for walking through the streets of Vienna and exploring its many shops and markets. The average temperature in October is 15 °C, ranging from 7 °C – 18 °C. The city generally experiences an “Altweiber-Summer” (a term used to describe a string of warm, sunny days) from mid/late September to early/mid-October.

**Travel plug adapters**

Travel plug adapters simply change the shape of your appliance's plug to match whatever type of socket you need to plug into. Travel plug adapters do not change the voltage, so the electricity coming through the adapter will still be the same 220–240 volts the socket is supplying. North American sockets supply electricity at between 110 and 120 volts, far lower than in most of the rest of the world, and appliances generally only built for 110–120 volts. Please check whether your appliance is compatible with the higher voltage before attempting to plug it into Austrian outlets!

**Tipping**

Gratuities are not automatically included in the average restaurant bill. The standard tip is 10 percent of the bill. Tipping in service-oriented businesses is up to the person paying, but it is generally expected. In casual outlets such as sausage stands or ice parlors, people will often round up to the next full euro, e.g., if the price is € 2.40, you could round up to € 3.00.

**Weather**

October weather is perfect for walking through the streets of Vienna and exploring its many shops and markets. The average temperature in October is 15 °C, ranging from 7 °C – 18 °C. The city generally experiences an “Altweiber-Summer” (a term used to describe a string of warm, sunny days) from mid/late September to early/mid-October.
Europcar takes you to the Cochrane Colloquium Vienna.

Europcar Austria is the official Cochrane Colloquium car rental partner and has stations throughout Austria.

Car rental is the best option, particularly if you want to do day trips around Vienna or if you have booked a hotel outside Vienna. We are very proud that we will support over 1,000 participating practitioners, researchers, policy makers and journalists with our cars.

Information & reservation:

europcar.at/cochrane
facebook.com/europcar.at
The Organizers are not able to give any guarantee that any particular individual will appear as a speaker or panelist. Registrants, speakers, and guests of the Colloquium consent to being photographed, filmed, and/or recorded throughout the event, including during social events. Registrants consent to the use of any such image, film, or recording being used at the discretion of the Organizers.